



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

December 17, 2004

Mr. Bret Bray  
Division Director  
Texas Department of Transportation  
Motor Vehicles Division  
P.O. Box 2293  
Austin, Texas 78768

OR2004-10705

Dear Mr. Bray:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 215196.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for any information related to a named auto dealer and his dealership. You state that the department has released some of the requested information. You further state that the department will redact Texas driver's license numbers, dealer plate numbers, vehicle identification numbers, and social security numbers appearing on application materials for licenses issued by the department in reliance on the previous determinations issued by this office in Open Records Letter Nos. 2001-4775 (2001) and 2001-6050 (2001). *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 at 7-8 (2001)(establishing criteria for previous determinations). You also claim that portions of the information may be excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code. Additionally, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you have notified an interested third party of this request for information, of the fact that the request for information may implicate his proprietary interests, and of his right to submit arguments to this office explaining why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Public Information Act ("Act") in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common law privacy. Common law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). Prior decisions of this office have found that personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is protected by common law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990). We note, however, that common law privacy protects the interests of individuals, not those of corporations and other types of business organizations. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 620 (1993) (corporation has no right to privacy), 192 (1978) (right to privacy is designed primarily to protect human feelings and sensibilities, rather than property, business, or other pecuniary interests); *see also U. S. v. Morton Salt Co.*, 338 U.S. 632, 652 (1950); *Rosen v. Matthews Constr. Co.*, 777 S.W.2d 434 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1989), *rev'd on other grounds*, 796 S.W.2d 692 (Tex. 1990) (corporation has no right to privacy). We have marked personal financial information that relates to an individual and is protected by common law privacy. The department must withhold this information under section 552.101.

The submitted information also contains a telephone account number. Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. The department must, therefore, withhold the account number that we have marked under section 552.136.

You have noted that the submitted maps are copyrighted. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

Finally, we note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, the interested third party has not submitted to this office any reasons explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, this party has provided us with no basis to conclude that he has a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See, e.g.,* Gov't Code

§ 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990).

In summary, we conclude that: 1) the department must withhold the Texas driver's license and motor vehicle information and social security numbers for which it has been granted previous determinations; and 2) the department must withhold the information we have marked under sections 552.101 and 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor, in compliance with copyright law for any information protected by copyright.

Although you request that this office issue a previous determination regarding this information, we decline to do so at this time. This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Lauren E. Kleine  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LEK/jev

Ref: ID# 215196

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Deborah K. Hartley  
Ross & Hartley  
Attorneys and Counselors  
1521 North Cooper Street, Suite 340  
Arlington, Texas 76011  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Stan Wright  
Motown Inc.  
DBA Carz  
1901 East Division Street  
Arlington, Texas 76011  
(w/o enclosures)