



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

December 22, 2004

Ms. Ellen Huchital
McGinnis, Lochridge & Kilgore, L.L.P.
3200 One Houston Center
1221 McKinney Street
Houston, Texas 77010

OR2004-10801

Dear Ms. Huchital:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 215531.

The Eanes Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received two requests for information concerning public information requests made by two named individuals. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.114 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.¹ We have also considered comments submitted by one of the requestors. *See Gov't Code* § 552.304 (providing that a person may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Initially, we note that Exhibit D is not responsive to either request. These records did not exist when the district received the first request and are not requested by the second requestor. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ *dism'd*); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986) (governmental body not required to disclose information that did not exist at time request was received). So the district need not release information that did not exist when request was received. Because these records are not responsive to either requestor, we do not address

¹We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

them in this ruling. *See generally* Gov't Code § 552.301 (indicating that this office has authority to render decisions only with respect to information sought by written request).

We next note that one of the individuals whose public information requests are the subject of the ruling has written a letter to the district requesting certain information be kept confidential. Information is not confidential under the Act simply because the party submitting the information anticipates or requests that it be kept confidential. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 677 (Tex. 1976). In other words, a governmental body cannot, through an agreement or contract, overrule or repeal provisions of the Act. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987); Open Records Decision No. 541 at 3 (1990) (“[T]he obligations fo a governmental body under [the predecessor to the Act] cannot be compromised simply by its decision to enter into a contract.”) Consequently, unless the information at issue falls within an exception to disclosure, it must be released, notwithstanding any agreement or statement specifying otherwise.

Now we turn to your arguments for exception regarding the submitted responsive information. Section 552.114 of the Governmental Code excepts from disclosure student records at an educational institution funded completely or in part by state revenue. This office generally applies the same analysis under section 552.114 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (“FERPA”). *See* Open Records Decision No. 539 (1990). Section 552.101 of the Government Code also encompasses FERPA.² FERPA provides that no federal funds will be made available under any applicable program to an educational agency or institution that releases personally identifiable information (other than directory information) contained in a student’s education records to anyone but certain enumerated federal, state, and local officials and institutions, unless otherwise authorized by the student’s parent. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1). “Education records” means those records that contain information directly related to a student and are maintained by an educational agency or institution or by a person acting for such agency or institution. *Id.* § 1232g(a)(4)(A). Section 552.026 of the Government Code provides that “information contained in education records of an educational agency or institution” may only be released under the Act in accordance with FERPA.

In Open Records Decision No. 634 (1995), this office concluded that (1) an educational agency or institution may withhold from public disclosure information that is protected by FERPA and excepted from required public disclosure by sections 552.026 and 552.101 without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to those exceptions, and (2) an educational agency or institution that is state-funded may withhold from public disclosure information that is excepted from required public disclosure by section 552.114 as a “student record,” insofar as the “student record” is protected by FERPA, without the

²Section 552.101 excepts from required public disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision,” and incorporates confidentiality provisions such as FERPA into the Act. Gov't Code § 552.101.

necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to that exception. *See* Open Records Decision No. 634 at 6-8 (1995). In this instance, you have submitted information that you contend is confidential under FERPA. Accordingly, we will address your claim.

Information must be withheld from required public disclosure under FERPA only to the extent "reasonable and necessary to avoid personally identifying a particular student." *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 332 (1982), 206 (1978). Such information includes both information that directly identifies a student, as well as information that, if released, would allow the student's identity to be easily traced. *See* Open Records Decision No. 224 (1979) (finding student's handwritten comments protected under FERPA because they make identity of student easily traceable through handwriting, style of expression, or particular incidents related). Having reviewed the responsive information and the requestor's brief, we conclude that the open records request log maintained by the district does not directly relate to any student and therefore, does not constitute an education record for the purposes of FERPA. Thus, the open records request log cannot be withheld pursuant to 552.114 or section 552.101 and FERPA.

We next address your privacy claim under section 552.101. Information is protected from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy when (1) it is highly intimate and embarrassing such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and (2) there is no legitimate public interest in its disclosure. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *See id* at 683. After carefully reviewing your arguments and the submitted information, we find that no portion of this information is protected from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy. Accordingly, we conclude that the district may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.101 on the basis of common-law privacy.

In summary, as neither of the claimed exceptions applies and the information at issue is not otherwise confidential by law, Exhibit C must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jaclyn N. Thompson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JNT/krl

Ref: ID# 215531

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Raven Hill
Austin American Statesman
Education Reporter
305 S. Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78704

Ms. Dianna Pharr
2204 Westlake Drive
Austin, Texas 78746
(w/o enclosures)