



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

April 20, 2005

Mr. Ernesto Rodriguez  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of El Paso  
2 Civic Center Plaza, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2005-03398

Dear Mr. Rodriguez:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 222320.

The City of El Paso (the "city") received a request for information related to fifty-four specified internal affairs investigations. You state that you have provided the requestor with most of the requested information. You claim that portions of the remaining requested information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.117, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

First, you indicate that the city intends to withhold the family member information of a peace officer pursuant to the previous determination of this office in Open Records Decision No. 670 (2001). In that decision, we determined that a governmental body may withhold the home address, home telephone number, personal cellular phone number, personal pager number, social security number, and information that reveals whether the individual has

---

<sup>1</sup> We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

family members, of any individual who meets the definition of “peace officer” set forth in article 2.12 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure or “security officer” in section 51.212 of the Texas Education Code, without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision as to the applicability of the section 552.117(a)(2) exception. *See* Open Records Decision No. 670 (2001); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 673 at 7-8 (2001) (listing elements of second type of previous determination under section 552.301(a)). We therefore agree the city must withhold the officer’s family member information from disclosure pursuant to the previous determination in Open Records Decision No. 670.

Next, we must address the city’s obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), a governmental body must ask the attorney general for a decision as to whether requested information must be disclosed not later than the tenth business day after the date of receiving the written request for information. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.301(b). Section 552.301(e) requires the governmental body to submit to the attorney general, not later than the fifteenth business day after the date of its receipt of the request, (1) written comments stating why the governmental body’s claimed exceptions apply to the information that it seeks to withhold; (2) a copy of the written request for information; (3) a signed statement of the date on which the governmental body received the request, or evidence sufficient to establish that date; and (4) the specific information that the governmental body seeks to withhold or representative samples of the information if it is voluminous. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A)-(D). You inform us that the city received the request for information on January 6, 2005. However, the city did not request a decision from this office or submit any information until February 4, 2005. You state, and provide documentation showing, that the requestor “agreed to grant [the city] an extension to respond and seek an Attorney General opinion regarding the records she requested.” However, the deadlines contained in section 552.301 are fixed by statute and cannot be altered by agreement. *See* Open Records Decision No. 541 at 3 (1990) (obligations of a governmental body under predecessor to Act cannot be compromised simply by decision to enter into contract). Thus, you failed to meet the deadlines prescribed by section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body’s failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released. Information that is presumed public must be released unless a governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. *See Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). Generally speaking, a compelling reason for non-disclosure exists where some other source of law makes the information confidential or where third party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Because sections 552.101, 552.117, and 552.130 can provide compelling reasons to withhold information, we will address your arguments for withholding this information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Juvenile law enforcement records relating to conduct that occurred on or after September 1, 1997 are confidential under section 58.007 of the Family Code. Section 58.007(c) provides in pertinent part as follows:

(c) Except as provided by Subsection (d), law enforcement records and files concerning a child and information stored, by electronic means or otherwise, concerning the child from which a record or file could be generated may not be disclosed to the public and shall be:

(1) if maintained on paper or microfilm, kept separate from adult files and records;

(2) if maintained electronically in the same computer system as records or files relating to adults, be accessible under controls that are separate and distinct from controls to access electronic data concerning adults; and

(3) maintained on a local basis only and not sent to a central state or federal depository, except as provided by Subchapter B.

Fam. Code § 58.007(c). Section 51.02(2)(A) defines "child" as a person who is ten years of age or older and under seventeen years of age. Section 58.007 is not applicable to information that relates to a juvenile as a complainant, victim, witness, or other involved party and not as a suspect or offender. Although you raise section 58.007 of the Family Code for portions of the submitted information, you have not explained, and the documents do not reflect, how any of the submitted information constitutes law enforcement records or files concerning a child for the purposes of section 58.007. Accordingly, none of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 58.007(c) of the Family Code.

We note, however, that portions of this information are protected under section 552.101 in conjunction with common law privacy. Common law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. We have marked information that is protected by common law privacy and must be withheld

under section 552.101 on that basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 394 (1983); *cf.* Fam. Code § 58.007.

You note that the submitted documents include social security numbers. Social security numbers may be confidential under section 552.101 in conjunction with the 1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I). *See* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). These amendments make confidential social security numbers and related records that are obtained or maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See id.* We have no basis for concluding that the social security numbers in the submitted documents are confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I), and, therefore, excepted from public disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code on the basis of that federal provision. We caution, however, that section 552.353 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing any social security numbers, you should ensure that they were not obtained or are not maintained by the city pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code prohibits the release of information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the city must withhold the Texas driver's license and motor vehicle information it has marked pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the city must withhold the officer's marked family member information pursuant to the previous determination in Open Records Decision No. 670. The city must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common law privacy, and the marked Texas driver's license and motor vehicle information under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The social security numbers in the submitted information may be confidential under federal law. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney

general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Lauren E. Kleine  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LEK/jev

Ref: ID# 222320

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Edythe Payan  
El Paso County Public Defender  
500 East San Antonio, Suite 501  
El Paso, Texas 79901  
(w/o enclosures)