



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 25, 2005

Ms. Cynthia Villarreal-Reyna
Section Chief, Agency Counsel
Legal & Compliance Division
Texas Department of Insurance
P.O. Box 149104
Austin, Texas 78714-9104

OR2005-03512

Dear Ms. Villarreal-Reyna:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 222625.

The Texas Department of Insurance (the "department") received two requests for certain rate filings of a number of named insurance companies. You indicate that some of the requested information does not exist.¹ You also state you have released some of the requested information, but claim that some of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.137 of the Government Code. You indicate that the submitted information may also be excepted under section 552.110, but take no position as to whether this information is excepted under that section. You state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified Old American County Mutual Fire Insurance Company ("Old American") of the department's receipt of the requests for information and of Old American's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released to the requestor. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain

¹We note the Act does not require a governmental body to disclose information that did not exist at the time the request for information was received. *Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex.App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986).

circumstances). Old American, in its response to the notice, asserts that its “underwriting guidelines and/or rules pertaining to these [insurance] programs” is excepted under section 552.110. We have considered the claimed exceptions and reviewed the submitted information.

We initially note that the department has withdrawn its request for an open records decision pertaining to one of the requestors because that requestor did not respond to the department’s written itemized statement detailing the charges for copying the requested information. *See* Gov’t Code §§ 552.2615(a) (governmental body may provide requestor with written itemized statement that details all estimated charges that will be imposed for copy of public information), 552.2615(b) (request for information is considered withdrawn if requestor does not respond within statutorily prescribed deadline to section 552.2615(a) itemized statement). Based on your representations and our review of the submitted documentation, we conclude that the request for information at issue was withdrawn by operation of law. *See id.* § 552.2615(b). The department is therefore not required to release any responsive information to that requestor.

The department asserts that some of the submitted information is excepted under section 552.137 of the Government Code.² Section 552.137 excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See* Gov’t Code § 552.137(a)-(c). Some of the submitted e-mail addresses were, for purposes of section 552.137(c)(4), provided to the department on letterheads; accordingly, these addresses are not excepted from release pursuant to section 552.137(c). However, the remaining e-mail addresses at issue do not appear to be of a type specifically excluded by section 552.137(c). You do not inform us that a member of the public has affirmatively consented to the release of any e-mail address contained in the submitted materials. Therefore, the department must withhold the e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137.

Old American asserts that its underwriting guidelines and rules in the submitted information are excepted under section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects the proprietary interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: trade secrets and commercial or financial information the release of which would cause a third party substantial competitive harm. Section 552.110(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “[a] trade secret obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision.” The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the

²The department acknowledges, and we agree, that it failed to assert section 552.137 within the ten-business-day period mandated by section 552.301(a) of the Government Code. Although you did not timely raise section 552.137, this provision can constitute a compelling reason to withhold information, and we will address your arguments on this issue. *See* Gov’t Code §§ 552.301, 552.302.

definition of trade secret from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts. *Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763 (Tex. 1958); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 2 (1990). Section 757 provides that a trade secret is

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one's business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business. . . . A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. . . . [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d at 776. In determining whether particular information constitutes a trade secret, this office considers the Restatement's definition of trade secret as well as the Restatement's list of six trade secret factors.³ RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939). This office has held that if a governmental body takes no position with regard to the application of the trade secret branch of section 552.110 to requested information, we must accept a private person's claim for exception as valid under that branch if that person establishes a *prima facie* case for exception and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5-6 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) applies unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. *See* Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Section 552.110(b) excepts from disclosure "[c]ommercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release

³The following are the six factors that the Restatement gives as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret: (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company]; (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business; (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information; (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors; (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information; (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others. RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

of the requested information. *See* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm).

Having considered Old American's arguments and reviewed the information at issue, we find Old American has established that its underwriting guidelines and rules in the submitted information constitute trade secrets for purposes of section 552.110(a). We thus determine that Old American has made a *prima facie* case under section 552.110(a) for that information and we have received no arguments to rebut this claim. Accordingly, the department must withhold Old American's underwriting guidelines and rules in the information at issue pursuant to section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

To conclude, the department must withhold the e-mail addresses we have marked under section 552.137 of the Government Code. It must also withhold Old American's underwriting guidelines and rules in the information at issue pursuant to section 552.110(a) of the Government Code. It must release the remaining information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/seg

Ref: ID# 222625

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Donna DiBiaso
Product Manager
Young America Insurance Company
4445 West Ledbetter
Dallas, Texas 75236
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karim Mawji
Morgan Stanley
2000 Westchester Avenue
Purchase, New York 10577-2530
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bruce McCandless III
Long, Burner, Parks & DeLargy P.C.
P.O. Box 2212
Austin, Texas 78768-2212
(w/o enclosures)