



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 28, 2005

Mr. John S. Aldridge
Walsh, Anderson, Brown, Schulze, & Aldridge, P.C.
P.O. Box 2156
Austin, Texas 78768

OR2005-03647

Dear Mr. Aldridge:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 222935.

The Hays-Blanco Special Education Cooperative (the "cooperative"), which you represent, received a request for seven categories of information related to the requestor's child, including specified fee bills for legal services provided to the cooperative or the Dripping Springs Independent School District (the "district"). You state that you are releasing some responsive information to the requestor. You state that some of the requested information does not exist.¹ You claim that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.107 of the Government Code and rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence.² We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

¹ We note that the Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received or to prepare new information in response to a request for information. See *Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983). A governmental body must make a good faith effort to relate a request for information to any responsive information that is within its custody or control. See Open Records Decision No. 561 at 8-9 (1990).

² We note that you also assert the attorney-client privilege under section 552.101 of the Government Code. The appropriate section for a governmental body to cite when asserting the attorney-client privilege is section 552.107, rather than section 552.101. Open Records Decision No. 676 at 3 (2002). Furthermore, section 552.101 does not encompass the Texas Rules of Evidence. *Id.* at 2.

As you acknowledge, the submitted attorney fee bills are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. This section provides in part:

the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

...

(16) information that is in a bill for attorney's fees and that is not privileged under the attorney-client privilege[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(16). Therefore, the submitted attorney fee bills must be released under section 552.022(a)(16) unless they are confidential under other law. Section 552.107 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects the governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See* Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6 (2002) (section 552.107 is not other law for purposes of section 552.022); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 522 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). As such, section 552.107 is not other law that makes information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022. Therefore, the cooperative may not withhold any portion of the submitted information under section 552.107 of the Government Code. The Texas Supreme Court has held, however, that the Texas Rules of Evidence are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022 of the Government Code. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). The attorney-client privilege is found at Texas Rule of Evidence 503. Therefore, we will address your assertion of the attorney-client privilege under rule 503.

Rule 503(b)(1) of the Texas Rules of Evidence provides the following:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

(A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;

(B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;

(C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;

(D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or

(E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

Tex. R. Evid. 503(b)(1). A communication is “confidential” if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5). Thus, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must: (1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the information is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *See Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You assert that portions of the submitted attorney fee bills reveal confidential communications between privileged parties made in the furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the cooperative and the district. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we agree that the submitted attorney fee bills contain information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege. Accordingly, we have marked the information that the cooperative may withhold pursuant to rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. We conclude, however, that the remainder of the information in the submitted attorney fee bills is not protected by the attorney-client privilege and must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the

statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Caroline E. Cho
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CEC/sdk

Ref: ID# 222935

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Karen T. Yeaman
P.O. Box 711
Dripping Springs, Texas 78620
(w/o enclosures)