



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 28, 2005

Ms. Cynthia Villarreal-Reyna
Agency Counsel Section Chief
Legal and Compliance Division
Texas Department of Insurance
P.O. Box 149104
Austin, Texas 78714-9104

OR2005-05710

Dear Ms. Villarreal-Reyna:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 227153.

The Texas Department of Insurance (the "department") received a request for reports and related documentation stemming from an accident involving the requestor. While the department takes no position with regard to the public availability of the requested information, you believe that this request may implicate the proprietary interests of Allstate Indemnity Company ("Allstate"). You state that you notified Allstate of this request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have received correspondence from Allstate, and have reviewed the company's arguments and the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted documents include an accident report form that is governed by chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. *See* Transp. Code § 550.064 (officer's accident report). Section 550.065(b) of the Transportation Code states that, except as provided by subsection (c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of

the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. Transp. Code § 550.065(c)(4). Under this provision, the Department of Public Safety or another governmental entity is required to release a copy of an accident report to a person who provides the agency with two or more pieces of information specified by the statute. *Id.* In this instance, the requestor has not provided the department with two of the three requisite pieces of information. Thus, the submitted accident report, which we have marked, must be withheld under section 550.065(b).

Allstate claims that the submitted Engineer's Report constitutes a communication from a consulting expert which is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with Rule 192.3(e) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.¹ Additionally, we understand Allstate to argue that this information is protected attorney work product under Rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. We note that this office generally does not address discovery and evidentiary rules that may or may not be applicable to information submitted to our office by a governmental body. *See* Open Records Decision No. 416 (1984) (finding that even if evidentiary rule specified that certain information may not be publicly released during trial, it would have no effect on disclosability under Act); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 647 at 2 (1996) (section 552.101 does not encompass civil discovery privileges). However, the Texas Supreme Court has ruled that the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the Texas Rules of Evidence are "other law" that make information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022. *See* Gov't Code § 552.022 (enumerates several categories of information not excepted from required disclosure unless expressly confidential under other law); *see also In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001). Section 552.022(a)(1) states that a completed report made of, for, or by a governmental body is expressly public unless it is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code or is expressly confidential under other law. Gov't Code § 552.002(a)(1). In this instance, the submitted Engineer's Report was prepared for Allstate rather than the department. Thus, this report is not made expressly public by section 552.022, and the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure are not applicable. Accordingly, we conclude that the department may not withhold the submitted Engineer's Report under the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

Allstate also raises section 552.110 of the Government Code. Section 552.110 protects the proprietary interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure two types of information: (1) "[a] trade secret obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision," and (2) "commercial or financial information for which it is demonstrated based on specific factual evidence that disclosure would cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained." *See* Gov't Code § 552.110(a)-(b).

¹ Section 552.101 excepts from public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101.

The Texas Supreme Court has adopted the definition of a “trade secret” from section 757 of the Restatement of Torts, which holds a “trade secret” to be

any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one’s business, and which gives him an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business . . . in that it is not simply information as to a single or ephemeral event in the conduct of the business A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business [It may] relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958), *cert. denied*, 358 U.S. 898 (1958). If the governmental body takes no position on the application of the “trade secrets” component of section 552.110 to the information at issue, this office will accept a private party’s claim for exception as valid under that component if that party establishes a *prima facie* case for the exception, and no one submits an argument that rebuts the claim as a matter of law.² *See* Open Records Decision No. 552 at 5 (1990). The private party must provide information that is sufficient to enable this office to conclude that the information at issue qualifies as a trade secret under section 552.110(a). *See* Open Records Decision No. 402 at 3 (1983). Section 552.110(b) requires a specific factual or evidentiary showing, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that substantial competitive injury would likely result from release of the information at issue. *See* Open Records Decision No. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (business enterprise must show by specific factual evidence that release of information would cause it substantial competitive harm).

² The Restatement of Torts lists the following six factors as indicia of whether information constitutes a trade secret:

- (1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company];
- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and other involved in [the company’s] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing the information;
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 319 at 2 (1982), 306 at 2 (1982), 255 at 2 (1980).

Upon review of Allstate's arguments and the submitted information, we find that Allstate has failed to demonstrate that any portion of the submitted information meets the definition of a trade secret under section 552.110(a). Furthermore, Allstate has failed to establish by specific factual evidence that any of the submitted information constitutes commercial or financial information the release of which would cause the company substantial competitive harm under section 552.110(b). *See* RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939) (information is generally not trade secret unless it constitutes "a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business"); *see also, e.g.*, Open Records Decision No. 661 (1999) (for information to be withheld under commercial or financial information prong of section 552.110, business must show by specific factual evidence that substantial competitive injury would result from release of particular information at issue). Accordingly, no portion of the submitted information may be withheld under section 552.110 of the Government Code.

We note, however, that the submitted information contains Texas motor vehicle information. Section 552.130 of the Government Code prohibits the release of information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the department must withhold the Texas motor vehicle information we have marked pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code.³

In summary, the department must withhold the marked accident report under section 550.065(b) of the Government Code, and the marked motor vehicle information under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

³ We note that the submitted information also includes the requestor's motor vehicle information, which would normally be excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.130. In accordance with section 552.023 the requestor in this instance has a special right of access to personal information that would be excepted from disclosure under provisions designed to protect his privacy. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 481 (1987). However, if the department receives another request for this particular information from a different requestor, the department should again seek a decision from this office.

Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Lauren E. Kleine
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LEK/jev

Ref: ID# 227153

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Mark Allen Coker
2825 North 16th Street
Waco, Texas 76708
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kim O'Brien
Allstate Indemnity Company
1450 Lake Robbins Drive, Suite 200
The Woodlands, Texas 77380
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael W. Cooper
Lueders & Boanerges
9432 Old Katy Road, Suite 100
Houston, Texas 77055
(w/o enclosures)