



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

July 21, 2005

Mr. Frank D. Brown  
District Attorney  
83<sup>rd</sup> District  
P.O. Box 9710  
Alpine, Texas 79831

OR2005-06520

Dear Mr. Brown:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 228577.

The Office of the District Attorney for the 83<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District (the "district attorney") received a request for the court reporter's transcription of the requestor's grand jury testimony of February 17, 2005. You indicate that the requested information is not subject to disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"). We have considered your claims and reviewed the submitted information.

This office has concluded that a grand jury, for purposes of the Act, is part of the judiciary and is therefore not subject to the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.003 ("governmental body" does not include the judiciary). Further, this office has concluded that records that are within the constructive possession of a grand jury are not public information subject to disclosure under the Act. Open Records Decision No. 513 (1988). When an individual or entity acts at the direction of the grand jury as its agent, information prepared or collected by the agent is within the grand jury's constructive possession and is not subject to the Act. *See id.* Information that is not so held or maintained is subject to the Act and may be withheld only if a specific exception to disclosure is applicable. *See id.*

You state that the requested testimony was given pursuant to subpoena from the grand jury, and you therefore represent that the submitted records are held by the district attorney as the agent of the grand jury. Based on your representations and our review, we conclude the

testimony at issue is in the constructive possession of the grand jury and is therefore not subject to disclosure under the Act.<sup>1</sup> The district attorney is therefore not obligated to release the information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

---

<sup>1</sup> Records of the judiciary may be public pursuant to other sources of law. Attorney General Opinions DM-166 at 2-3 1992) (public has general right to inspect and copy judicial records), H-826 (1976); Open Records Decision No. 25 (1974); see *Star Telegram, Inc. v. Walker*, 834 S.W.2d 54, 57 (Tex. 1992) (documents filed with courts are generally considered public and must be released).

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within ten calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Saldivar', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

David R. Saldivar  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

DRS/seg

Ref: ID# 228577

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Jack D. McNamara  
The Nimby News  
P.O. Box 1445  
Alpine, Texas 79831  
(w/o enclosures)