ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 22, 2005

Mr. Chris Settle
Assistant City Attorney
Criminal Law and Police Division
City of Dallas
1400 South Lamar Street
Dallas, Texas 75215

OR2005-06571

Dear Mr. Settle:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 230341.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received a request for all documents pertaining to a particular officer. You claim that portions of the submitted documents are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.117 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.[1]

Initially, we must address the department's obligations under the Act. Pursuant to section 552.301(b) of the Government Code, a governmental body must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply within ten business days after receiving the request. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(a), (b). You inform us that the department received the present request on May 26, 2005. However, you did not request a ruling from this office until June 15, 2005. Consequently, we find that the department failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption

[1]To the extent any additional responsive information existed on the date the department received this request, we assume you have released it to the requestor. If you have not released any such records, you must do so at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

that the requested information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to withhold the information from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). Generally speaking, a compelling reason exists when third party interests are at stake or when information is confidential under other law. Open Records Decision No. 150 (1977). Because sections 552.101 and 552.117 can constitute compelling reasons to withhold information, we will consider your arguments regarding these exceptions.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information made confidential by other statutes. Section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code governs information obtained in the course of conducting a polygraph examination and provides that "a person for whom a polygraph examination is conducted . . . may not disclose information acquired from a polygraph examination" except to certain categories of people. Because the requestor does not fall within any of the enumerated categories, pursuant to section 552.101 and section 1703.306, you must withhold the submitted polygraph information. We have marked the document accordingly.

You also assert that some of the requested information must be withheld pursuant to section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from disclosure the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member information of a peace officer[2] regardless of whether the officer requests confidentiality under section 552.024 or 552.1175. You indicate that the individual at issue was a licensed peace officer at the time the request was received. Therefore, we agree that, under section 552.117(a)(2), the department must withhold the listed information concerning this individual. We have marked the information that the department must withhold on this basis.

In summary, the polygraph information we have marked is confidential under section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code and must be withheld pursuant to section 552.101. The department must also withhold the information we have marked pertaining to the peace officer pursuant to section 552.117. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the

---

[2] The term peace officer is defined in article 2.12 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure.

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Elizabeth C. Reeder
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

ECR/sdk

Ref: ID# 230341

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Aaron Harper
Robinius, Sutton and Harper, L.L.P.
3959 Cole Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75204
(w/o enclosures)