



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 25, 2005

Mr. Joel K.B. Winful
Assistant District Attorney
Civil Division
Dallas County
411 Elm Street, 5th Floor
Dallas, Texas 75202

OR2005-06616

Dear Mr. Winful:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 228692.

The Dallas County Clerk (the "county clerk") received a request for "access to book-in, warrant, bond and discharge data in the AIS system" from February 1, 2005 to April 19, 2005. You claim that the requested information constitutes records of the judiciary and is, therefore, not subject to the Act. In the alternative, you claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.¹

We note that the Act only applies to information that is "collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by a governmental body." Gov't Code § 552.002(a)(1). We also note that the Act does not apply to records of the judiciary. *See* Gov't Code § 552.003(1)(B). Information that is "collected, assembled or maintained by or for the judiciary" is not subject to the Act. Gov't Code § 552.0035(a); *see also* Tex. Sup. Ct. R. 12. Consequently, records of the judiciary need not

¹We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

be released under the Act. *See* Attorney General Opinion DM-166 (1992). *But see Benavides v. Lee*, 665 S.W.2d 151 (Tex. App.--San Antonio 1983, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 646 (1996) at 4 (“function that a governmental entity performs determines whether the entity falls within the judiciary exception to the . . . Act.”).

You state that “the Dallas County Clerk and the Dallas County District Clerk are the proper custodians of the criminal court records for the County and District courts respectively.” You further state that the “AIS computer system contains computerized criminal court records maintained by the Dallas County Clerk and Dallas County District Clerk.” You further inform us that “the computerized court records that have been requested only exist[s] on the AIS criminal court computer system as database display screens,” and this information is not run as a printed report. Finally, you state that “it is the County’s position that the [Act] does not apply to these computerized judicial records.” Thus, we understand you to assert that the database displayed on the AIS screen is maintained by the county clerk for the judiciary. On this basis, we conclude that the requested information is information collected, assembled, or maintained by or for the judiciary. Consequently, the public availability of this information is not governed by the Act and is instead governed by “rules adopted by the Supreme Court of Texas or by other applicable law and rules” pertaining to information “collected, assembled, or maintained by or for the judiciary.” *See* Gov’t Code § 552.0035(a), Open Records Open Records Decision No. 671 (2001).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general’s Open Government Hotline,

toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Pearle
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAP/krl

Ref: ID#228692

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Jim O'Neill
Dallas Morning News
P. O. Box 655237
Dallas, TX 75265
(w/o enclosures)