



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 10, 2005

Ms. Hadassah Schloss
Open Records Administrator
Texas Building and Procurement Commission
P.O. Box 13047
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2005-07256

Dear Ms. Schloss:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 230001.

The Texas Building and Procurement Commission (the "TBPC") received a request for a copy of the "Deloitte Consulting's Texas Building and Procurement Commission Strategic Sourcing Spend Analysis Project Business Case as well as the 'State of Texas Prism Report' report." You advise us that TBPC has released the Deloitte report. Although you assert that the Prism report may be excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code, you take no position and make no arguments regarding this exception. Instead, pursuant to section 552.305, you have notified Silver Oak Solutions ("Silver Oak") of the request and of its opportunity to submit comments to this office. See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). In correspondence with this office, Silver Oak asserts that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Silver Oak notes that some of the requested information has been designated as confidential or proprietary. However, information is not confidential under the Act simply because the party submitting the information anticipates or requests that it be kept confidential. *Industrial Found. v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 677 (Tex. 1976). In other

words, a governmental body cannot, through an agreement or contract, overrule or repeal provisions of the Act. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987); Open Records Decision No. 541 at 3 (1990) (“[T]he obligations of a governmental body under [the predecessor to the Act] cannot be compromised simply by its decision to enter into a contract.”). Consequently, unless the information at issue falls within an exception to disclosure, it must be released, notwithstanding any agreement specifying otherwise.

We next note that the submitted information consists of a completed report. Under section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code, a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body is expressly public unless it is either excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code or expressly confidential under other law. Section 552.110 is considered “other law” for purposes of section 552.022. Accordingly, we will address whether the information is excepted under section 552.110 of the Government Code.

Section 552.110(a) protects the property interests of private parties by excepting from disclosure trade secrets obtained from a person and privileged or confidential by statute or judicial decision. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.110(a). A “trade secret”

may consist of any formula, pattern, device or compilation of information which is used in one’s business, and which gives [one] an opportunity to obtain an advantage over competitors who do not know or use it. It may be a formula for a chemical compound, a process of manufacturing, treating or preserving materials, a pattern for a machine or other device, or a list of customers. It differs from other secret information in a business in that it is not simply information as to single or ephemeral events in the conduct of the business, as for example the amount or other terms of a secret bid for a contract or the salary of certain employees A trade secret is a process or device for continuous use in the operation of the business. Generally it relates to the production of goods, as for example, a machine or formula for the production of an article. It may, however, relate to the sale of goods or to other operations in the business, such as a code for determining discounts, rebates or other concessions in a price list or catalogue, or a list of specialized customers, or a method of bookkeeping or other office management.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also Hyde Corp. v. Huffines*, 314 S.W.2d 763, 776 (Tex. 1958); Open Records Decision Nos. 255 (1980), 232 (1979), 217 (1978).

There are six factors to be assessed in determining whether information qualifies as a trade secret:

(1) the extent to which the information is known outside of [the company’s] business;

- (2) the extent to which it is known by employees and others involved in [the company's] business;
- (3) the extent of measures taken by [the company] to guard the secrecy of the information;
- (4) the value of the information to [the company] and to [its] competitors;
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended by [the company] in developing this information; and
- (6) the ease or difficulty with which the information could be properly acquired or duplicated by others.

RESTATEMENT OF TORTS § 757 cmt. b (1939); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 232 (1979). This office must accept a claim that information subject to the Act is excepted as a trade secret if a *prima facie* case for exception is made and no argument is submitted that rebuts the claim as a matter of law. Open Records Decision No. 552 (1990). However, we cannot conclude that section 552.110(a) is applicable unless it has been shown that the information meets the definition of a trade secret and the necessary factors have been demonstrated to establish a trade secret claim. Open Records Decision No. 402 (1983).

Silver Oak acknowledges that it received publicly available data from TBPC and makes no claim to such data. However, Silver Oak asserts that the requested report “is the embodiment of a process undertaken by Silver Oak Consulting for continuous use in the operation of its business.” Furthermore, Silver Oak informs us that the report “contains our proprietary categorization scheme, our look and feel of laying out the data, and reflects our unique approach to showing only what we deem to be the most important information.” Upon review of all of Silver Oak’s arguments and the submitted Prism report, we find that Silver Oak has made a *prima facie* case that the information it seeks to withhold is protected as trade secret information. Moreover, we have received no arguments that would rebut this case as a matter of law. We therefore conclude that the TBPC must withhold the submitted Prism report pursuant to section 552.110(a) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full

benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Louis T. Dubuque
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LTD/seg

Ref: ID# 230001

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Adrienne D. (Tarpley) O'Keefe
Bates Investigations, Inc.
4131 Spicewood Springs Road # 1J2
Austin, Texas 78759
(w/o enclosures)

c: Mr. John West, Jr.
Chairman
Silver Oak Solutions
399 Boylston Street, 12th Floor
Boston, Massachusetts 02116