



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

August 29, 2005

Mr. Craig Magnuson  
Attorney  
City of Mansfield  
1200 East Broad Street  
Mansfield, Texas 76063

OR2005-07822

Dear Mr. Magnuson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 231156.

The Mansfield Police Department (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to a named individual. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that a portion of the submitted information was created after the department received this request. Because the department did not maintain this information at the time it received this request, the information is not encompassed by the request, and we do not address it in this ruling. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, *writ dismiss'd*); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986) (governmental body not required to disclose information that did not exist at the time request was received).

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 encompasses common law privacy. Where an individual's criminal history information has been compiled by a governmental entity, the information takes on a character that implicates the individual's

right to privacy. *See United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989).

In this instance, the requestor asks for all information concerning a certain person. In this case, we believe that the individual's right to privacy has been implicated. Thus, where the named individual is a possible suspect, we conclude that you must withhold this information under common law privacy as encompassed by section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See id.* We note that information relating to routine traffic violations is not excepted from disclosure on this basis. *Cf.* Gov't Code § 411.082(2)(B). Such information may not be withheld under section 552.101 on the basis of *Reporters Committee*.

You also claim that the submitted information must be withheld pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with 58.106 of the Family Code. We note that Subchapter B of chapter 58 of the Family Code, which contains section 58.106, pertains to the administration of the Juvenile Justice Information System by the Texas Department of Public Safety. Because the records at issue were not requested from the Texas Department of Public Safety, we conclude that section 58.106 of the Family Code is not applicable in this instance.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in pertinent part:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(1) must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977).

You inform us that a portion of the information requested relates to an open and active criminal investigation. However, you have not specified which portion of the submitted information pertains to an ongoing criminal investigation or prosecution. Furthermore, you have failed to adequately explain how the release of this information would interfere in some way with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See* Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). Thus, you have not met your burden under section 552.108(a)(1). Therefore, the submitted information may not be withheld pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code.

Finally, we note that portions of the submitted information contain motor vehicle information that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130 provides in pertinent part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

- (1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]
- (2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]

Gov't Code § 552.130. The department must withhold the Texas-issued motor vehicle record information which we have marked pursuant to section 552.130.

In summary, to the extent the department maintains information in which the named individual is a possible suspect it must be withheld under common law privacy as encompassed by section 552.101 of the Government Code. The Texas-issued motor vehicle record information that we have marked must be withheld under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the

requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



L. Joseph James  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LJJ/seg

Ref: ID# 231156

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Billy S. Hart  
West, Webb, Allbritton & Gentry  
1515 Emerald Plaza  
College Station, Texas 77845-1515  
(w/o enclosures)