



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 20, 2005

Mr. Brad Norton  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Austin  
P.O. Box 1088  
Austin, Texas 78767

OR2005-08585

Dear Mr. Norton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 232681.

The City of Austin (the "city") received a request for a copy of a transcript of the city's Citizen Review Panel regarding a specified complaint. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information deemed confidential by statute, such as section 143.089 of the Local Government Code. We understand that the city is a civil service city under chapter 143 of the Local Government Code. Section 143.089 contemplates two different types of personnel files, a police officer's civil service file that the civil service director is required to maintain, and an internal file that the police department may maintain for its own use. Local Gov't Code § 143.089(a), (g). In cases in which a police department investigates a police officer's misconduct and takes disciplinary action against an officer, it is required by section 143.089(a)(2) to place all investigatory records relating to the investigation and disciplinary action, including background documents such as complaints, witness statements, and documents of like nature from individuals who were not in a supervisory capacity, in the police officer's civil service

file maintained under section 143.089(a).<sup>1</sup> *Abbott v. City of Corpus Christi*, 109 S.W.3d 113, 122 (Tex. App.—Austin 2003, no pet.). All investigatory materials in a case resulting in disciplinary action are “from the employing department” when they are held by or in possession of the department because of its investigation into a police officer’s misconduct, and the department must forward them to the civil service commission for placement in the civil service personnel file. *Id.* Such records are subject to release under chapter 552 of the Government Code. *See* Local Gov’t Code § 143.089(f); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 6 (1990). However, information maintained in a police department’s internal file pursuant to section 143.089(g) is confidential and must not be released. *City of San Antonio v. Texas Attorney Gen.*, 851 S.W.2d 946, 949 (Tex. App.—Austin 1993, writ denied).

A qualified civil service municipality may elect under subchapter I of Chapter 143 of the Local Government Code to enter into an agreement with a police association regarding “wages, salaries, rates of pay, hours of work, other terms and conditions of employment, [and] other personnel issues.”<sup>2</sup> Local Gov’t Code § 143.303. When a qualified municipality enters into such an agreement, the agreement “supercedes a previous statute concerning wages, salaries, rates of pay, hours of work, or *other terms and conditions of employment* to the extent of any conflict with the statute” and “preempts any contrary statute, executive order, local ordinance, or rule adopted by the state or a political subdivision or agent of the state including a personnel board, a civil service commission, or a home-rule municipality.” *Id.* § 143.307(a), (b) (emphasis added). However, an agreement “may not diminish or qualify any right, benefit, or privilege of any employee under this chapter or other law” unless the change is approved by a majority of the police association. *Id.* § 143.307(c).

You inform us that in April 2004 the city and the Austin Police Association entered into an agreement pursuant to subchapter I and have provided us with a copy. *See* Agreement Between The City of Austin and The Austin Police Association (“Agreement”). The Agreement establishes a citizen oversight process to review complaints of misconduct regarding Austin Police Department (“APD”) officers. The oversight system includes the Office of the Police Monitor and a Citizen’s Review Panel (“Panel”). The Police Monitor administers the Panel’s activities and is responsible for keeping records of the Panel’s meetings. The Agreement provides that Panel records have the same confidential character as records in the departmental file kept under section 143.089(g). The Agreement specifically provides, in Article 16, Section 8 (at page 40) that “[a]ll records of the Police Monitor’s Office that relate to individual case investigations and the APD 143.089(g) file, although same are not APD files or records, shall have the same statutory character in the

---

<sup>1</sup> Chapter 143 prescribes the following types of disciplinary actions: removal, suspension, demotion, and uncompensated duty. *See id.* §§ 143.051-.055.

<sup>2</sup>Subchapter I of Chapter 143 of the Local Government Code applies in part to a municipality with a population of 460,000 that operates under a city manager form of government. *See* Local Gov’t Code § 143.301. The submitted Agreement indicates that the city is such a qualified municipality.

hands of the Police Monitor, and shall not be disclosed by any person, unless otherwise authorized by law.”

We understand that the submitted transcript is a Panel record, and under the Agreement, it has the same confidential character as records in the departmental file kept under section 143.089(g). We also understand you to represent that these investigations did not result in disciplinary action under sections 143.051-.055 of the Local Government Code. We therefore conclude that the submitted information is confidential pursuant to section 143.089(g) of the Local Government Code, and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be

sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jaime L. Flores  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JLF/seg

Ref: ID# 232681

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. J.B. Kraft  
Attorney at Law  
710 Colorado Street, Suite 5C  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(w/o enclosures)