



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 4, 2005

Ms. Julie Joe
Assistant County Attorney
Travis County Transactions Division
P. O. Box 1748
Austin, Texas 78767

OR2005-08988

Dear Ms. Joe:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 233529.

The Travis County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney's office") received a request for all information related to a named individual. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.130, and 552.1325 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.¹

Initially, we note that the submitted information includes arrest warrants and affidavits for warrant of arrest and detention signed by a magistrate. Article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure states "[t]he arrest warrant, and any affidavit presented to the magistrate in support of the issuance of the warrant, is public information." Crim. Proc. Code art. 15.26. Information that is specifically made public by statute may not be withheld from the public under any of the exceptions to the Act. *See, e.g.,* Open Records Decision Nos. 544 (1990), 378 (1983), 161 (1977), 146 (1976). Therefore, the arrest warrants and affidavits for warrants of arrest and detention, which we have marked, must be released in their entirety pursuant to article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Additionally, the submitted information includes an affidavit to support a search warrant. An affidavit to support a search warrant is made public by statute if the search warrant has

¹We assume that the sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

been executed. *See* Code Crim. Proc art. 18.01(b). In this instance, the search warrant at issue was executed; therefore, the district attorney's office must release the search warrant affidavit we have marked.

Next, we note that the submitted information is subject to required public disclosure under section 552.022 of the Government Code, which provides in relevant part:

the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

- (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). In this instance, the submitted information consists of a completed investigations of drug possession and a separate completed investigation of an aggravated assault. Unless the completed investigations are expressly confidential under other law or excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code, they must be released to the requestor.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code states in pertinent part:

- (a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [is excepted from required public disclosure] if:

...

- (4) it is information that:

- (A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

- (B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state [and]

....

- (c) This section does not except from [required public disclosure] information that is basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(4), (c). A governmental body that claims an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why this exception is

applicable to the information that the governmental body seeks to withhold. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986). You state all of the requested information was created or assembled by a prosecutor in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation. You claim release of the requested information would reveal the mental impressions or legal reasoning of the prosecutors in the district attorney's office. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we find that section 552.108(a)(4) is applicable to the information at issue.

We note, however, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrest, an arrested person, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). *See* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). The remaining information at issue may be withheld under section 552.108 of the Government Code.

In summary, the district attorney's office must release the marked arrest warrants and affidavits for warrants of arrest and detention pursuant to article 15.26 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The marked search warrant affidavit must be released pursuant to article 18.01(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Except for basic information, the remaining information may be withheld under section 552.108(a)(4) of the Government Code.²

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the

²As our ruling on this issue is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.

statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ramsey A. Abarca
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RAA/krl

Ref: ID# 233529

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Gary J. Cohen
The Cohen Law Firm
1307 West Avenue
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)