



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 14, 2005

Mr. Ron G. MacFarlane, Jr.
Dealey, Zimmermann, Clark, Malouf & MacFarlane, P.C.
3131 Turtle Creek Boulevard, Suite 1201
Dallas, Texas 75219-5415

OR2005-10216

Dear Mr. MacFarlane:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 239229.

The Cedar Hill Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to a fatality accident that occurred at a specified location on a specified date. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information includes an executed search warrant and a search warrant supporting affidavit signed by a magistrate. A search warrant affidavit is made public by statute if the search warrant has been executed. *See* Crim. Proc. Code art. 18.01(b). The exceptions to disclosure found in the Act do not apply to information that is made public by other statutes. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1985). Therefore, the department must release the search warrant affidavit.

With respect to the search warrant itself, we note that information filed with a court is generally a matter of public record and may not be withheld from disclosure. Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(17); *Star-Telegram, Inc. v. Walker*, 834 S.W.2d 54 (Tex. 1992). Section 552.108 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception that protects a governmental body's interests and is therefore not "other law" that makes court records confidential for purposes of section 552.022. *See* Open Records Decision No. 177 (1977)

(governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.108); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). Thus, the search warrant may not be withheld pursuant to section 552.108. As you raise no other exceptions for this court record, we determine that the search warrant is not excepted from disclosure and must be released.

Next, we note that included among the remaining documents you seek to withhold are accident report forms that appear to have been completed pursuant to chapter 550 of the Transportation Code. *See* Transp. Code § 550.064 (officer's accident report). Section 550.065(b) states that except as provided by subsection (c), accident reports are privileged and confidential. Section 550.065(c)(4) provides for the release of accident reports to a person who provides two of the following three pieces of information: (1) date of the accident; (2) name of any person involved in the accident; and (3) specific location of the accident. Transp. Code § 550.065(c)(4). Under this provision, the Department of Public Safety or another governmental entity is required to release a copy of an accident report to a person who provides the agency with two or more pieces of information specified by the statute. *Id.* In the situation at hand, the requestor has provided the required information. Thus, under section 550.065(c)(4), the department must release unredacted copies of the submitted accident reports.

We now address your claim under section 552.108 of the Government Code for the remaining information. Section 552.108(a) excepts from disclosure “[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime.” A governmental body claiming an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why section 552.108 is applicable to that information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state that this information relates to a pending criminal investigation. Based upon this representation, we conclude that the release of the remaining information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

However, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. Thus, with the exception of the basic front page offense and arrest information, the department may withhold the remaining submitted information from disclosure based on section 552.108(a)(1). We note that the department has the discretion to release all or part of this information that is not otherwise confidential by law. Gov't Code § 552.007.

In summary, the search warrant affidavit must be released in accordance with article 18.01(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, and the search warrant must be released pursuant to

section 552.022(a)(17) of the Government Code. The department must release unredacted copies of the submitted accident reports pursuant to section 550.065(c)(4) of the Transportation Code. Other than basic information, which must be released, the remaining information at issue may be withheld under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within ten calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within ten calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R.B. Rapfogel". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first letters of the first and last names being capitalized and prominent.

Robert B. Rapfogel
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RBR/krl

Ref: ID# 239229

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Fred Daugherty
Daugherty Investigations, Inc.
P. O. Box 571550
Dallas, Texas 75357
(w/o enclosures)