



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

November 17, 2005

Ms. Sandra Gentry  
Administrative Support II  
Baytown Police Department  
3200 North Main Street  
Baytown, Texas 77521

OR2005-10403

Dear Ms. Gentry:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 236566.

The Baytown Police Department (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident. You claim that portions of the requested information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.117, 552.1175, 552.130, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. 540 S.W.2d at 683. In addition, this office has found that some medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses is protected under common-law privacy. Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps). We have marked the information that must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy.

Next, we address your arguments under section 552.117 of the Government Code. Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from disclosure the present and former home addresses and personal telephone numbers, social security number, and family member information of a peace officer regardless of whether the officer requests confidentiality for that information under section 552.024 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(2). We note, however, that the protections of section 552.117 of the Government Code only apply to information that the governmental body holds in its capacity as an employer. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117 (providing that employees of governmental entities may protect certain personal information in the hands of their employer); *see also* Gov't Code § 552.024 (establishing election process for section 552.117). In this instance, the peace officer at issue is listed as a suspect in the submitted offense report. As such, the peace officer's personal information included in the offense report may not be withheld under section 552.117(a)(2). However, this information may be excepted under section 552.1175 of the Government Code, which provides in part as follows:

(b) Information that relates to the home address, home telephone number, or social security number of [a peace officer as defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure], or that reveals whether the individual has family members is confidential and may not be disclosed to the public under this chapter if the individual to whom the information relates:

(1) chooses to restrict public access to the information; and

(2) notifies the governmental body of the individual's choice on a form provided by the governmental body, accompanied by evidence of the individual's status.

Gov't Code § 552.1175(b). If the peace officer at issue notifies the department that he chooses to keep the information we have marked confidential in accordance with section 552.1175(2), the department must withhold this information pursuant to section 552.1175 of the Government Code.

Next, section 552.147 of the Government Code<sup>2</sup> provides that "[t]he social security number of a living person is excepted from" required public disclosure under the Act. Therefore, regardless of the applicability of section 552.1175, the department must withhold all of the social security numbers it has highlighted in green under section 552.147.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>"Peace Officer" is defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

<sup>2</sup>Added by Act of May 23, 2005, 79th Leg., R.S., S.B. 1485, ch. 397, 2005 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 1091 (Vernon) (to be codified at Tex. Gov't Code § 552.147).

<sup>3</sup>We note that section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act.

Lastly, we address your claim under section 552.130 of the Government Code. This section excepts from disclosure information that relates to a driver's license or motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the Texas driver's license numbers we have marked must be withheld pursuant to section 552.130.

In summary, the department must withhold the private information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. If the peace officer at issue notifies the department that he chooses to keep the information we have marked confidential in accordance with section 552.1175(2), the department must withhold this information pursuant to section 552.1175 of the Government Code. Regardless of the applicability of section 552.1175, the department must still withhold the social security numbers it has highlighted in green pursuant to section 552.147 of the Government Code. The Texas driver's license numbers must be withheld under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within ten calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within ten calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Robert B. Rapfogel  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

RBR/kr1

Ref: ID# 236566

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Kelly Lozano  
342 Brompton Court  
Highlands, Texas 77562  
(w/o enclosures)