



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 21, 2005

Mr. Jesús Toscano, Jr.
Administrative Assistant City Attorney
City of Dallas
1500 Marilla, Room 7DN
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2005-10537

Dear Mr. Toscano:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 236678.

The City of Dallas (the "city") received three requests from the same requestor for (1) documents that form the basis of the investigation of the requestor by the Public Integrity Unit of the Dallas Police Department and (2) specified correspondence sent to the Mayor and the West Dallas Multipurpose Center. You state that you are releasing some of the requested documents to the requestor, but you claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.¹ We have also received and considered comments from the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (allowing interested party to submit comments indicating why requested information should or should not be released).

¹ We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach and, therefore, does not authorize the withholding of any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the doctrine of common law privacy, which protects information if it is (1) highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and (2) of no legitimate public interest. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). This office has found that personal financial information not related to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally protected by common law privacy. *See generally* Open Records Decision Nos. 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history protected under common law privacy), 373 (1983) (sources of income not related to financial transaction between individual and governmental body protected under common law privacy). However, this office has also determined that the essential facts about a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body generally are subject to a legitimate public interest. *See, e.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 600 at 9-12 (1992) (identifying public and private portions of state employees’ personnel records), 545 at 4 (1990) (“In general, we have found the kinds of financial information not excepted from public disclosure by common law privacy to be those regarding the receipt of governmental funds or debts owed to governmental entities”), 523 at 4 (1989) (noting distinction under common law privacy between confidential background financial information furnished to public body about individual and basic facts regarding particular financial transaction between individual and public body), 373 at 4 (1983) (determination of whether public’s interest in obtaining personal financial information is sufficient to justify its disclosure must be made on case-by-case basis). We have marked the information that the city must withhold under section 552.101 in conjunction with common law privacy.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure information that relates to “a motor vehicle operator’s or driver’s license or permit issued by an agency of this state[.]” Gov’t Code § 552.130(a)(1). Accordingly, the city must withhold the Texas-issued motor vehicle record information we have marked pursuant to section 552.130.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136. An access device number is one that may be used to “(1) obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value; or (2) initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument.” *Id.* We have marked the account number the city must withhold pursuant to section 552.136.

We note that the remaining information includes a social security number. Section 552.147 of the Government Code² provides that “[t]he social security number of a living person is excepted from” required public disclosure under the Act. Gov’t Code § 552.147. Therefore, the city must withhold the submitted security number under section 552.147.³

In summary, the city must withhold the following: (1) the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common law privacy; (2) the marked Texas-issued motor vehicle record information under section 552.130 of the Government Code; (3) the account number we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code; and (4) the submitted social security number under section 552.147 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.⁴

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the

²Added by Act of May 23, 2005, 79th Leg., R.S., S.B. 1485, ch. 397, 2005 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 1091 (Vernon) (to be codified at Tex. Gov’t Code § 552.147).

³We note that section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person’s social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act.

⁴A portion of the information marked for release consists of information that may not be subject to release to the general public. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.352. However, the requestor in this instance has a special right of access to the information. Gov’t Code § 552.023. Because some of the information may be confidential with respect to the general public, if the city receives a future request for this information from an individual other than the requestor or his authorized representative, the city should again seek our decision.

Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Caroline E. Cho
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CEC/sdk

Ref: ID# 236678

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Terris J. Walker
1903 Austin Street
Mansfield, Texas 76063
(w/o enclosures)