



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

December 22, 2005

Ms. Amy Columbus  
Assistant District Attorney  
Dallas County  
133 North Industrial Boulevard, LB-19  
Dallas, Texas 75207-4399

OR2005-11566

Dear Ms. Columbus:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 238960.

The Dallas County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for "copies of any and all information, including but not limited to, statements and investigative material in regard to" specified criminal proceedings. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note the submitted information includes documents that may not be subject to the Act. This office has concluded that grand juries are not governmental bodies subject to the Act, so records within the actual or constructive possession of a grand jury are not subject to disclosure under the Act. *See* Open Records Decision No. 513 (1988). When an individual or entity acts at the direction of the grand jury as its agent, information prepared or collected by the agent is within the grand jury's constructive possession and is not subject to the Act. *Id.* at 3. Information that is not so held or maintained is subject to the Act and may be withheld only if a specific exception to disclosure is applicable. *Id.* A portion of the submitted information relates to a grand jury records. Thus, to the extent this information is maintained by the district attorney for or on behalf of the grand jury, it is in the custody of the district attorney as an agent of the grand jury and is not subject to disclosure under the

Act. *Id.* at 4. To the extent it is not so maintained, it is subject to the Act and may be withheld only if an exception under the Act is shown to apply. As we are unable to determine the extent to which these documents are maintained for or on behalf of the grand jury, we will also address the exceptions that you claim under the Act for these documents.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in part:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

...

(4) it is information that:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) represents the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

...

(3) the internal record or notation:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) represents the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(4), (b)(3). A governmental body that claims an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why this exception is applicable to the information that the governmental body seeks to withhold. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986). In *Curry v. Walker*, 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994), the Texas Supreme Court held that a request for a district attorney's "entire litigation file" was "too broad" and,

quoting *National Union Fire Insurance Co. v. Valdez*, 863 S.W.2d 458 (Tex. 1993, orig. proceeding), held that “the decision as to what to include in [the file] necessarily reveals the attorney’s thought processes concerning the prosecution or defense of the case.” *Curry*, 873 S.W.2d at 380.

In this instance, you argue that the request “constitutes a request for the [d]istrict [a]ttorney’s entire litigation files.” You also assert that the requested information consists of or tends to reveal the mental processes, legal conclusions, and legal theories of prosecutors. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we find that sections 552.108(a)(4) and 552.108(b)(3) are applicable in this instance.

We note, however, that basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.108. Gov’t Code § 552.108(c). Such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref’d n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). See Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Basic information includes the identification and description of the complainant. See *Houston Chronicle*, 531 S.W.2d at 187; Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976). However, as the submitted information pertains to a sexual assault, certain basic information from the criminal case file is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common law privacy.<sup>1</sup>

Common law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). Information that tends to identify a victim of sexual assault is protected under common law privacy. See Open Records Decision No. 339 (1982); *Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex App.—El Paso 1992, writ denied) (identity of witnesses to and victims of sexual harassment was highly intimate or embarrassing information and public did not have a legitimate interest in such information). Generally, only information tending to identify victims of serious sexual offenses is protected by common law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982). In those instances, however, where it is demonstrated that the requestor knows the identity of the victim and the nature of the offense, all basic information must be withheld to protect the victim’s privacy. Here, although you seek to withhold the basic information in its entirety, you have not demonstrated, nor does the submitted information reflect, that the requestor knows the victim’s identity and the nature of the offense. Thus, the district attorney must withhold information identifying the victim pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in

---

<sup>1</sup>Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101.

conjunction with common law privacy. All other basic information must be released to the requestor. The district attorney may withhold the remainder of the information at issue pursuant to sections 552.108(a)(4) and (b)(3) of the Government Code. We note that you have the discretion to release all or part of the information protected by section 552.108 that is not otherwise confidential by law. Gov't Code § 552.007. As our ruling on this issue is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "L. Joseph James".

L. Joseph James  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LJJ/segh

Ref: ID# 238960

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. David Kassabian  
Kassabian, Doyle & Weatherford  
1521 North Cooper Street, Suite 650, LB 21  
Arlington, Texas 76011  
(w/o enclosures)