



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 20, 2006

Mr. Robert Simpson
Assistant General Counsel
Texas Medical Board
P. O. Box 2018
Austin, Texas 78768-2018

OR2006-00660

Dear Mr. Simpson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 240473.

The Texas State Board of Medical Examiners (the "board") received a request for a discussion paper submitted to the board by a named doctor. You state that the board has provided the requestor with a copy of the public verification/physician profile information, including any disciplinary action, and other information the board believes is not excepted from required public disclosure.¹ You claim, however, that the discussion paper is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from required public disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information that another statute makes confidential. You contend that the discussion paper is confidential under section 164.007(c) of the Occupations Code. Section 164.007(c) provides as follows:

¹We note that the Texas Medical Practice Act, subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code, requires the board to make public certain information concerning physicians licensed in this state. *See* Occ. Code §§ 154.004 (requiring board to make public on request summary of any previous disciplinary board order against specific physician licensed in Texas), .006 (requiring board's compilation of physician profiles in format easily available to the public).

(c) Each complaint, adverse report, investigation file, other investigation report, and other investigative information in the possession of or received or gathered by the board or its employees or agents relating to a license holder, an application for license, or a criminal investigation or proceeding is privileged and confidential and is not subject to discovery, subpoena, or other means of legal compulsion for release to anyone other than the board or its employees or agents involved in discipline of a license holder. For purposes of this subsection, investigative information includes information relating to the identity of, and a report made by, a physician performing or supervising compliance monitoring for the board.

Occ. Code § 164.007(c). You explain that section 154.056 of the Occupations Code requires the board to investigate complaints. The submitted documents reflect that the discussion paper was part of the discipline imposed on the named physician by the board pursuant to an agreed order, and was created and provided to the board after the board concluded its investigation into the complaint against the named physician. Because the discussion paper was received by the board after the conclusion of its investigation, the discussion paper is not part of the investigative information gathered by the board. Accordingly, the board has failed to demonstrate that the discussion paper is confidential under section 164.007(c). *See also* Occ. Code § 154.006(i) (formal complaint against a physician and related board order are public information). As you raise no other exceptions to disclosure, the discussion paper must be released.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'José Vela III', written over a horizontal line.

José Vela III
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JV/krl

Ref: ID# 240473

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Fred Townsend
528 N. Oakwood Ave., Suite 201
Lake Forest, IL 60045
(w/o enclosures)