



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 23, 2006

Mr. David K. Walker
County Attorney
Montgomery County
207 West Phillips
Conroe, Texas 77301

OR2006-00725

Dear Mr. Walker:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code, the Public Information Act (the "Act"). Your request was assigned ID# 244038.

The Montgomery's County Sheriff's Department (the "sheriff") received a request for all information concerning a named individual. You claim that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.103, and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 552.101 also encompasses common law privacy. Where an individual's criminal history information has been compiled by a governmental entity, the information takes on a character that implicates the individual's right to privacy. *See United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749 (1989). In this instance, the requestor asks for all information concerning a certain person. In this case, we believe that the individual's right to privacy has been implicated. Thus, where the named individual is a possible suspect, arrestee, or criminal defendant, we conclude that you must withhold this information under common law privacy as encompassed by section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See id.* Because our ruling on this issue is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments except to note that the phone number of a private citizen

is not generally excepted from disclosure under the Act. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 659 at 5 (1999) (listing types of information that attorney general has held to be protected by right to privacy), 554 (1990) (concluding that disclosure of a person's name, home address, and phone number is not an invasion of privacy), 478 (1987), and 455 (1987) (concluding that home addresses and phone numbers are not "intimate" information and not protected as to applicants, probationers, or private citizens).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Amanda Crawford". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped initial 'A'.

Amanda Crawford
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AEC/segh

Ref: ID# 244038

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Regina Ellis
555 John Knox Road
Fischer, Texas 78632
(w/o enclosures)