



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

April 17, 2006

Mr. J. Michael Criswell  
County Attorney  
Swisher County  
119 South Maxwell  
Tulia, Texas 79088

OR2006-03809

Dear Mr. Criswell:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 246555.

The Swisher County Sheriff's Office (the "sheriff's office") received six requests for a police photograph of a named individual and any incident reports related to a specified event. You state that some information has been released, including the requested photograph, but claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample information.<sup>1</sup>

Section 552.108(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code § 552.103(a). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

state that the requested incident report relates to an active criminal investigation. Based on your representations and our review, we determine that the release of the incident report would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

However, basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.108. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*, 531 S.W.2d 177. *See Open Records Decision No. 127* (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information).

We note that the submitted information contains the arrestees' social security numbers. Section 552.147 of the Government Code<sup>2</sup> provides that "[t]he social security number of a living person is excepted from" required public disclosure under the Act. Therefore, the sheriff's office must withhold the arrestees' social security numbers under section 552.147.

In summary, the sheriff's office must withhold the arrestees' social security numbers under section 552.147 of the Government Code. With the exception of basic information, the sheriff's office may withhold the remaining information from disclosure pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the

---

<sup>2</sup>We note that section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person's social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act.

statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Lehmann  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MAL/sdk

Ref: ID# 246555

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Michael D. Smith  
Reporter  
Amarillo Globe-News  
P.O. Box 2091  
Amarillo, Texas 79166  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Steven W. Pair  
Assignments Editor  
KVII-TV  
One Broadcast Center  
Amarillo, Texas 79101  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Shawn Venhaus  
Assignments Editor  
KFDA-TV  
P.O. Box 10  
Amarillo, Texas 79105  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Meaghan Collier  
KAMR-TV  
1015 South Fillmore  
Amarillo, Texas 79101  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Karla Abernethy  
Canyon News  
P.O. Box 779  
Canyon, Texas 79015  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Brenda Bernet  
Amarillo Globe-News  
P.O. Box 2091  
Amarillo, Texas 79166  
(w/o enclosures)