



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

April 26, 2006

Mr. John T. Patterson  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Waco  
P.O. Box 2570  
Waco, Texas 76702-2570

OR2006-04183

Dear Mr. Patterson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 247103.

The City of Waco (the "city") received a request for all proposals and/or a summary of other proposal responses related to RFP 2005-071, including the responses to the "Technical Questionnaire." You claim that portions of the requested information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The city takes no position with regard to the release of the remaining requested information. However, pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you notified Pinnacle Risk Management Services, Texas Political Subdivisions Joint Self-Insurance Funds, Hammerman & Gainer, Inc., CCS Consulting, LP, Review Med, L.P., AS&G Claims Administration, Inc., Anchor Claims Management, TRISTAR Risk Management, Injury Management Organization, Inc., CMI Barron Risk Management Services, Inc., Claims Administrative Services, Inc., and Brentwood Services Administrators, Inc., interested third parties, of the request and of their opportunity to submit comments to this office. *See Gov't Code § 552.305* (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). The city has submitted the requested information for our review. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, none of the interested third parties have submitted to this office any reasons explaining why its information should not be released. Therefore, the interested third parties have provided us with no basis to conclude that they have a protected proprietary interest in any of the submitted information. *See, e.g.*, Gov't Code § 552.110(b) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual or evidentiary material, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that it actually faces competition and that substantial competitive injury would likely result from disclosure); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Accordingly, we conclude that the city may not withhold any portion of the submitted information on the basis of any proprietary interest the third parties may have in the information.

Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides in relevant part:

(a) In this section, "access device" means a card, plate, code, account number, personal identification number, electronic serial number, mobile identification number, or other telecommunications service, equipment, or instrument identifier or means of account access that alone or in conjunction with another access device may be used to:

- (1) obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value; or
- (2) initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.

Gov't Code § 552.136. The city must withhold the insurance policy numbers you have marked pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code.

We note that some of the materials at issue may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the city must withhold the marked insurance policy numbers pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released to the requestor. However, any copyrighted material may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).


If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jaime L. Flores  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

JLF/er

Ref: ID# 247103

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Karen Nickerson  
8585 North Stemmons, #200-S  
Dallas, Texas 75247  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Huffman, Vice President  
Pinnacle Risk Management Services  
5800 Campus Circle, Suite 207B  
Irving, Texas 75063  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Keith D. Alberts, Marketing Director  
Texas Political Subdivisions Joint Self-Insurance Funds  
P.O. Box 803356  
Dallas, Texas 75380-3356  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Robyn C. Tydelski  
Hammerman & Gainer, Inc.  
800 W. Airport Freeway, Suite 620  
Irving, Texas 75062  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Sheryl M. Roberts, CEO  
CCS Consulting, LP  
300 East Royal Lane, Suite 200  
Irving, Texas 75039  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Shelly Bordonaro, Vice President  
Review Med, L.P.  
10300 North Central Expressway, #450  
Dallas, Texas 75231  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Paulo Garcia, CRM  
AS&G Claims Administrator, Inc.  
10050 Northwest Freeway, #250  
Houston, Texas 77092  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Lynn Berg, President  
Anchor Claims Management  
2304 Tarpley Road, Suite 124  
Carrollton, Texas 75006  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jimmy Dyer, Director, Sales & Client Services  
Tristar Risk Management  
5525 North MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 250  
Irving, Texas 75038  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Catherine Benavidez, President  
Injury Management Organization, Inc.  
4100 Midway Road, Suite 1145  
Plano, Texas 75007  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bart Griffin, Sr. Vice President  
CMI Barron Risk Management Services, Inc.  
613 Northwest Loop 410, Suite 800  
San Antonio, Texas 78216  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Lesa Menegay, Vice President of Marketing  
Claims Administrative Services, Inc.  
501 Shelley Drive  
Tyler, Texas 75701  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Barry Layton, ARM, CSP  
Brentwood Services Administrators, Inc.  
P.O. Box 1125  
Brentwood, Tennessee 37024-1125  
(w/o enclosures)