



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

June 14, 2006

Mr. William R. Wepfer
Raymondville City Attorney
518 East Harrison Avenue
Harlingen, Texas 78550

OR2006-06297

Dear Mr. Wepfer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 251614.

The City of Raymondville (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for a specific police report. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information. We have also considered comments submitted by the requestor's attorney. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You have not explained how the release of the submitted information would interfere with the city's detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. Thus, you have not met your burden under section 552.108(a)(1).

This office has determined that where an incident involving alleged criminal conduct is still under active investigation or prosecution, section 552.108 may be invoked by any proper

custodian of information that relates to the incident. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 474 (1987), 372 (1983). In such a situation, the agency that is acting as proper custodian must demonstrate that the information relates to the pending case and provide this office with a representation from the law enforcement entity that is investigating or prosecuting the incident indicating that it wishes to withhold the information. We understand you to indicate that the Willacy County District Attorney and the Texas Rangers may have a law enforcement interest in the submitted information. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases); Open Records Decision No. 586 (1991). However, you have not provided this office with a representation from either entity stating that it wishes this information be withheld from disclosure under section 552.108(a)(1). Because you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.108, the city may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.108.

We note that the submitted information contains a Texas driver's license number subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code.¹ Section 552.130 excepts from disclosure information that "relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state[.]" Gov't Code §552.130. The city must withhold the Texas driver's license number, which we have marked, in accordance with section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Anne Prentice
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

AP/sdk

Ref: ID# 251614

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Fernando Del Valle
Valley Morning Star
1310 South Commerce Street
Harlingen, Texas 78550
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Brian G. Janis, P.C.
Attorney at Law
1325 Palm Boulevard, Suite B
Brownsville, Texas 78520-7268
(w/o enclosures)