



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 4, 2006

Mr. David K. Walker
County Attorney
Montgomery County
207 West Phillips, 1st Floor
Conroe, Texas 77301

OR2006-08755

Dear Mr. Walker:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 255879.

The Montgomery County Sheriff's Department (the "department") received a request for a specified police report from May 16, 2006 and any past arrest reports for a named individual in 2000 or 2001. We understand that you have released some of the requested information to the requestor. You claim that the information at issue is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you have redacted a social security number and Texas motor vehicle record information from the May 16, 2006 arrest record. Pursuant to section 552.301 of the Government Code, a governmental body is prohibited from withholding information from a requestor without seeking a ruling from this office unless a statute authorizes such, or the governmental body has received a previous determination for the information at issue. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(a); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2000) (delineating circumstances under which attorney general decision constitutes previous determination under section 552.301 of the Government Code). Pursuant to section 552.147(b) of the Government Code, all governmental bodies may redact social security numbers without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. *See* Gov't Code § 552.147(b). However, we are not aware of any law that authorizes the department to withhold motor vehicle record

information without requesting a decision from this office. Further, you do not assert, nor does our review of our records indicate, that the department has been issued a previous determination authorizing it to withhold driver's license numbers without seeking a ruling from this office. Because we are able in this instance to ascertain the nature of the information that you have redacted, we will address your arguments for this information. In the future, however, the department should refrain from redacting any information that it submits to this office in seeking an open records ruling, unless the information at issue is subject to a previous determination issued by this office. Failure to comply with section 552.301 may result in the information being presumed public under section 552.302. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301(e)(1)(D), .302.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the doctrine of common law privacy, which protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. A compilation of an individual's criminal history is highly embarrassing information, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person. *Cf. United States Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of the Press*, 489 U.S. 749, 764 (1989) (when considering prong regarding individual's privacy interest, court recognized distinction between public records found in courthouse files and local police stations and compiled summary of information and noted that individual has significant privacy interest in compilation of one's criminal history). Furthermore, we find that a compilation of a private citizen's criminal history is generally not of legitimate concern to the public.

The present request, in part, is for unspecified information relating to a named individual. That aspect of this request implicates the named individual's right to privacy. Therefore, to the extent the department maintains any information, other than the May 16, 2006 police report, that relates to the named individual as a criminal suspect, arrested person, or defendant, any such information is protected by common law privacy and must be withheld from the requestor under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We note, however, that when a requestor asks for a specific police report, the request does not implicate the individual's common law privacy concerns. Therefore, we will address your arguments pertaining to the May 16, 2006 arrest report.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime . . . if . . . release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). A governmental body that claims an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain

how and why this exception is applicable to the information at issue. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You inform us that the submitted report relates to an active criminal investigation and/or prosecution. Based on your representation, we find that section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to this report. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases).

Section 552.108 does not except from disclosure “basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.” Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Section 552.108(c) refers to the basic front-page information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*. The department must release basic information, including a detailed description of the offense, even if this information does not literally appear on the front page of an offense or arrest report. *See Houston Chronicle*, 531 S.W.2d at 186-88; Open Records Decision No. 127 at 3-4 (1976) (summarizing types of information deemed public by *Houston Chronicle*).

In summary, any unspecified information maintained by the department that relates to the named individual as a criminal suspect, arrested person, or defendant is protected by common law privacy and must be withheld from the requestor under section 552.101 of the Government Code. With the exception of the basic information, the department may withhold the May 16, 2006 arrest report under section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the

Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Shelli Egger
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

SE/sdk

Ref: ID# 255879

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Theresa Rosillo
1720 North Frazier #11
Conroe, Texas 77301
(w/o enclosures)