



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

September 20, 2006

Mr. J. Kevin Patterson  
Assistant General Counsel  
Office of the Governor  
P.O. Box 12428  
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2006-10931

Dear Mr. Patterson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 259750.

The Office of the Governor (the "governor") received a request for: 1) contracts with all recipients of Texas Enterprise Fund Grants, 2) contracts with all recipients of Emerging Technology Fund Grants, 3) supporting materials related to grants to three specified third parties, 4) any materials related to non-performance, and 5) related reports submitted to the Legislature or the Economic Development Board.<sup>1</sup> You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.107 of the Government Code.<sup>2</sup> We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

---

<sup>1</sup>You state, and provide documentation showing, that the request was narrowed to specify the three named third parties. *See* Gov't Code § 552.222 (providing that if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify). You further state that as a result of the narrowed request, the governor no longer requests a ruling regarding the information submitted as Exhibit B.

<sup>2</sup>Although you raise section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with the attorney-client privilege, this office has concluded that section 552.101 does not encompass discovery privileges. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 1-2 (2002), 575 at 2 (1990). Furthermore, although you also cite "all other exceptions, sections 552.101 through 552.1425 of the Government Code" in your brief to this office, you have provided arguments only for section 552.107. We address only the exception you have argued. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302.

Initially, we note that you have not submitted information responsive to the request for contracts, materials related to non-performance, or reports submitted to the Legislature or the Economic Development Board. We therefore assume that, to the extent it existed on the date of the request, any information maintained by the governor that is responsive to these portions of the request has been released to the requestor. If not, the governor must release such information immediately. See Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .301, .302; Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (concluding that Gov't Code § 552.221(a) requires that information not excepted from disclosure must be released as soon as possible under circumstances). *But see Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex.Civ.App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision No. 452 at 3 (1986) (the Act does not require a governmental body to disclose information that did not exist at the time the request was received).

We next address your arguments under section 552.107 of the Government Code for the information you have submitted as Exhibit A. Section 552.107 of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. When asserting the attorney-client privilege, a governmental body has the burden of providing the necessary facts to demonstrate the elements of the privilege in order to withhold the information at issue. Open Records Decision No. 676 at 6-7 (2002). First, a governmental body must demonstrate that the information constitutes or documents a communication. *Id.* at 7. Second, the communication must have been made “for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services” to the client governmental body. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). The privilege does not apply when an attorney or representative is involved in some capacity other than that of providing or facilitating professional legal services to the client governmental body. *In re Tex. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 990 S.W.2d 337, 340 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 1999, orig. proceeding) (attorney-client privilege does not apply if attorney acting in a capacity other than that of attorney). Governmental attorneys often act in capacities other than that of professional legal counsel, such as administrators, investigators, or managers. Thus, the mere fact that a communication involves an attorney for the government does not demonstrate this element. Third, the privilege applies only to communications between or among clients, client representatives, lawyers, and lawyer representatives. TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1)(A), (B), (C), (D), (E). Thus, a governmental body must inform this office of the identities and capacities of the individuals to whom each communication at issue has been made. Lastly, the attorney-client privilege applies only to a *confidential* communication, *id.* 503(b)(1), meaning it was “not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication.” *Id.* 503(a)(5). Whether a communication meets this definition depends on the *intent* of the parties involved at the time the information was communicated. *Osborne v. Johnson*, 954 S.W.2d 180, 184 (Tex. App.—Waco 1997, no writ). Moreover, because the client may elect to waive the privilege at any time, a governmental body must explain that the confidentiality of a communication has been maintained. Section 552.107(1) generally excepts an entire communication that is demonstrated to be protected by the

attorney-client privilege unless otherwise waived by the governmental body. *See Huie v. DeShazo*, 922 S.W.2d 920, 923 (Tex. 1996) (privilege extends to entire communication, including facts contained therein).

You assert that the submitted information constitutes communications between an attorney representing the governor and employees of the governor made for the purpose of rendering professional legal services. You also indicate that the communications were intended to be confidential and have remained confidential. Therefore, based on your representations and our review, we conclude that the governor may withhold Exhibit A under section 552.107.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



L. Joseph James  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LJJ/dh

Ref: ID# 259750

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Lois Norder  
Managing Editor  
Fort Worth Star-Telegram  
685 John B. Sias Memorial Parkway  
Fort Worth, Texas 76134  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Horvit  
Projects Editor  
Fort Worth Star-Telegram  
685 John B. Sias Memorial Parkway  
Fort Worth, Texas 76134  
(w/o enclosures)