



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

September 25, 2006

Ms. Sharon Alexander
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2006-11116

Dear Ms. Alexander:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 263972.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to the acquisition of specified property. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.105 and 552.111 of the Government Code and privileged under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.3. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.¹

Initially, we note that the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Under section 552.022(a)(1), a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body is expressly public unless it either is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code or is expressly confidential under other law. The submitted information consists of a completed licensed appraiser's report. This report is expressly public under section 552.022 unless it is made confidential under

¹We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

other law or excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Sections 552.105 and 552.111 of the Government Code are discretionary exceptions under the Act that do not constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 663 (1999) (governmental body may waive section 552.111), 564 (1990) (governmental body may waive statutory predecessor to section 552.105). Accordingly, the department may not withhold this report under either section 552.105 or 552.111.

However, you contend that the submitted report is a consulting expert report that may be withheld from disclosure under the consulting expert privilege found in rule 192.3(e) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. The Texas Supreme Court held that "[t]he Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and Texas Rules of Evidence are 'other law' within the meaning of section 552.022." *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001). A party to litigation is not required to disclose the identity, mental impressions, and opinions of consulting experts whose mental impressions or opinions have not been reviewed by a testifying expert. *See* TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.3(e). A "consulting expert" is defined as "an expert who has been consulted, retained, or specially employed by a party in anticipation of litigation or in preparation for trial, but who is not a testifying expert." Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.7.

You explain that when acquiring land the department obtains expert advice from licensed appraisers in preparing for possible eminent domain litigation. You state that these appraisers are consulting experts. Upon review of your arguments and the information at issue, we agree that the submitted appraiser's report constitutes the opinion of a consulting expert. Therefore, assuming this expert is not called as a witness at trial, we conclude that the department may withhold the submitted information under rule 192.3(e) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. *See Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the

statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/vh

Ref: ID# 263972

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Dianne Neill
3314 Boca Raton Drive
Missouri City, Texas 77459
(w/o enclosures)