



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 10, 2007

Ms. Sharon Alexander
Associate General Counsel
Texas Department of Transportation
125 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2483

OR2007-00371

Dear Ms. Alexander:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 272637.

The Texas Department of Transportation (the "department") received a request for appraisal reports pertaining to the "SH 289 expansion." You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.105 and 552.111 of the Government Code and protected under rule 192.3 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information contains a completed appraisal report that is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022(a)(1) provides for the required public disclosure of "a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body," unless the information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code or expressly confidential under other law. Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). Sections 552.105 and 552.111 of the Government Code are discretionary exceptions to disclosure that protect a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See id.* § 552.007; Open Records Decision Nos. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally), 564 (1990) (statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.105 subject to waiver), 470 at 7 (1987) (statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.111 subject to waiver). Because these sections are not other law that make information

confidential for the purposes of section 552.022, the department may not withhold this report under section 552.105 or section 552.111.

You also contend, however, that the report is protected by the consulting expert privilege found in rule 192.3(e) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. The Texas Supreme Court has held that “[t]he Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and Texas Rules of Evidence are ‘other law’ within the meaning of section 552.022.” *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001). A party to litigation is not required to disclose the identity, mental impressions, and opinions of consulting experts whose mental impressions or opinions have not been reviewed by a testifying expert. *See* TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.3(e). A “consulting expert” is defined as “an expert who has been consulted, retained, or specially employed by a party in anticipation of litigation or in preparation for trial, but who is not a testifying expert.” TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.7.

You inform us that, when acquiring land, the department obtains expert advice from licensed appraisers in preparation for possible eminent domain litigation. You assert that these appraisers are thus experts consulted in anticipation of litigation. You also state that at this time, the department does not anticipate calling the experts who prepared the submitted reports as trial witnesses. Based on your representations, we conclude that the department may withhold the completed appraisal report under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.3(e).

You assert that the remaining information is excepted under section 552.105. Section 552.105 excepts from disclosure information relating to “appraisals or purchase price of real or personal property for a public purpose prior to the formal award of contracts for the property.” Gov’t Code § 552.105(2). Section 552.105 is designed to protect a governmental body’s planning and negotiating position with regard to particular transactions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 564, 357 (1982), 310 (1982). Information protected by section 552.105 that pertains to such negotiations may be withheld for so long as the transaction is not complete. *See* Open Records Decision No. 310.

This office also has concluded, however, that information about specific parcels of land obtained in advance of other parcels to be acquired for the same project could be withheld where release of the information would harm the governmental body’s negotiating position with respect to the remaining parcels. *See* Open Records Decision No. 564 at 2. A governmental body may withhold information “which, if released, would impair or tend to impair [its] ‘planning and negotiating position in regard to particular transactions.’” Open Records Decision No. 357 at 3 (quoting Open Records Decision No. 222 (1979)). The question of whether specific information, if publicly released, would impair a governmental body’s planning and negotiating position with regard to particular transactions is a question of fact. Accordingly, this office will accept a governmental body’s good-faith determination in this regard, unless the contrary is clearly shown as a matter of law. *See* Open Records Decision No. 564.

You state that the department has made a good-faith determination that the remaining information pertains to the appraisal or purchase price of real property that the department intends to purchase. Based on your representation, we conclude that the department may withhold the remaining information under section 552.105 of the Government Code.

To conclude, the department may withhold the completed appraisal report under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.3. The department may withhold the remaining information under section 552.105 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).


If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/vh

Ref: ID# 272637

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. J. Baxter Brinkmann
Brinkmann Ranches, L.P.
4215 McEwen Road
Dallas, Texas 75244
(w/o enclosures)