



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 25, 2007

Mr. Miguel A. Saldaña
Law Office of Miguel A. Saldaña
For Brownsville Independent School District
Three North Park Plaza
Brownsville, Texas 78521

OR2007-00858

Dear Mr. Saldaña:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 269967.

The Brownsville Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for a video recording of a football scrimmage. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and have reviewed the information you submitted.

We first note that the submitted video is an education record. The United States Department of Education Family Policy Compliance Office (the "DOE") has informed this office that the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA"), section 1232g of title 20 of the United States Code, does not permit state and local educational authorities to disclose to this office, without parental consent, unredacted, personally identifiable information contained in education records for the purpose of our review in the open records ruling process under the Act.¹ Consequently, state and local educational authorities that receive a request for education records from a member of the public under the Act must not submit education records to this office in unredacted form, that is, in a form in which "personally identifiable information" is disclosed. *See* 34 C.F.R. § 99.3 (defining "personally identifiable information"). You have submitted an unredacted education record for our review. Because our office is prohibited from reviewing this education record to determine the applicability

¹A copy of the letter may be found on the attorney general's website, http://www.oag.state.tx.us/opinopen/og_resources.shtml.

of FERPA, we will not address FERPA with respect to this record, other than to note that parents have a right of access to their own child's education records and that their right of access prevails over a claim under section 552.103 of the Government Code. *See* 20 U.S.C § 1232g(a)(1)(A); 34 C.F.R. § 99.3; Open Records Decision No. 431 (1985) (information subject to right of access under FERPA may not be withheld pursuant to statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.103). Determinations under FERPA must be made by the educational authority in possession of the education record.² However, we will consider your claim under section 552.103.

Section 552.103, the "litigation exception," provides in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body that claims an exception to disclosure under section 552.103 has the burden of providing relevant facts and documentation sufficient to establish the applicability of this exception to the information that it seeks to withhold. To meet this burden, the governmental body must demonstrate that (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date of its receipt of the request for information and (2) the information at issue is related to the pending or anticipated litigation. *See Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.). Both elements of the test must be met in order for information to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.103. *See* Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990).

The question of whether litigation is reasonably anticipated must be determined on a case-by-case basis. *See* Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To establish that litigation is reasonably anticipated, a governmental body must provide this office with "concrete

²In the future, if the district does obtain parental consent to submit unredacted education records, and the district seeks a ruling from this office on the proper redaction of those education records in compliance with FERPA, we will rule accordingly.

evidence showing that the claim that litigation may ensue is more than mere conjecture.”³ *Id.* You state that the requestor is an attorney for the family of a student-athlete of the district who was seriously injured in a football scrimmage. You believe that the district will become a party to a lawsuit arising out of that incident. You do not indicate, however, that any such litigation was pending on the date of the district’s receipt of this request for information. Furthermore, you inform us that the requestor has neither confirmed nor denied that he intends to sue the district. Having considered your representations, we find that you have not demonstrated that the district reasonably anticipated litigation on the date of its receipt of this request for information. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.103(c); Open Records Decision Nos. 361 at 2 (1983) (fact that request was made by attorney on behalf of rejected applicant not sufficient to invoke statutory predecessor to Gov’t Code § 552.103), 331 at 1-2 (1982) (mere chance of litigation not sufficient to trigger statutory predecessor). We therefore conclude that the district may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.103 of the Government Code. As you claim no other exception to disclosure, the submitted information must be released. This ruling does not address the applicability of FERPA to the submitted information. Should the district determine that all or portions of the submitted information consists of “education records” that must be withheld under FERPA, the district must dispose of that information in accordance with FERPA, rather than the Act.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the

³Among other examples, this office has concluded that litigation was reasonably anticipated where the opposing party took the following objective steps toward litigation: (1) filed a complaint with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (“EEOC”), *see* Open Records Decision No. 336 (1982); (2) hired an attorney who made a demand for disputed payments and threatened to sue if the payments were not made promptly, *see* Open Records Decision No. 346 (1982); and (3) threatened to sue on several occasions and hired an attorney, *see* Open Records Decision No. 288 (1981).


statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J.W. Morris, III', is written over a circular stamp. A long horizontal line extends from the right side of the signature.

James W. Morris, III
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JWM/jww

Ref: ID# 269967

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. J. Arnold Aguilar
Law Office of J. Arnold Aguilar
1200 Central Boulevard, Suite H-2
Brownsville, Texas 78520
(w/o enclosures)