



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

February 2, 2007

Mr. Mark Fenner
General Counsel
Texas Racing Commission
P.O. Box 12080
Austin, Texas 78711-2080

OR2007-01330

Dear Mr. Fenner:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 270633.

The Texas Racing Commission (the "commission") received a request for all information relating to SHRP Valley LLC's ("SHRP") request for transfer of ownership of Valley Race Park, Inc. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. You also state that the requested information may contain proprietary information subject to exception under the Act. Accordingly, you notified the interested third party SHRP of the commission's receipt of the request for information and of SHRP's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released to the requestor. *See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances).* We have received correspondence from an attorney for SHRP. We have considered the submitted arguments and have reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides in part:

(a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

...

(c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body receives the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *Thomas v. Cornyn*, 71 S.W.3d 473, 487 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.); *Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under section 552.103(a) of the Government Code.

You inform us that the commission, the requestor, and SHRP's parent company are currently parties in a contested case before the State Office of Administrative Hearings ("SOAH"), docket No. 476-04-5361. See Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991) (contested case under Administrative Procedure Act constitutes litigation for purposes of statutory predecessor to section 552.103). You state that the issue in the pending litigation is two pending racetrack applications. Based on our review of your arguments and the information at issue, we find that the commission has established that litigation was pending on the date that it received the present request for information. Furthermore, we find that the submitted information relates to the pending litigation. Thus, you have demonstrated the applicability of section 552.103 of the Government Code to the submitted information. Accordingly, the commission may withhold the submitted information pursuant to section 552.103.

We note, however, that once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Further, the applicability

of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

¹As our ruling is dispositive, we do not address SHRP's arguments.

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Cindy Nettles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

CN/eb

Ref: ID# 270633

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Janessa M. Glenn
Jenkins & Gilchrist
401 Congress, Suite 2500
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Rex D. VanMiddlesworth
Andrews & Kurth
111 Congress, Suite 1700
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert L. Bork
SHRP Valley L.L.C.
7575 North Sam Houston Parkway West
Houston, Texas 77064-3417
(w/o enclosures)