



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

February 8, 2007

Lieutenant William Ryan  
Records Division  
Pharr Police Department  
1900 South Cage  
Pharr, Texas 78577-6751

OR2007-01688

Dear Lieutenant Ryan:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 271169.

The Pharr Police Department (the "department") received a request for the personnel file of a named officer. You indicate that the department will release some of the requested information to the requestor. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.102 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Access to medical records is governed by the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code. Section 159.002 of the MPA provides in part as follows:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code. § 159.002(b), (c). This office has concluded that the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). This office has also concluded that when a file is created as the result of a hospital stay, only the documents in the file that relate to diagnosis and treatment constitute either physician-patient communications or records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that are created or maintained by a physician. *See* Open Records Decision No. 546 at 1 (1990). Section 159.002(c) requires that any subsequent release of medical records be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). Medical records may be released only as provided under the MPA. Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991). Upon review, we have marked the medical records that may only be released in accordance with the MPA.

Section 552.101 also encompasses chapter 1701 of the Occupations Code. The submitted information includes an L-2 Declaration of Medical Condition and an L-3 Declaration of Psychological and Emotional Health required by the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education (the "commission"). Section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code provides as follows:

(a) The commission may not issue a license to a person as an officer or county jailer unless the person is examined by:

(1) a licensed psychologist or by a psychiatrist who declares in writing that the person is in satisfactory psychological and emotional health to serve as the type of officer for which a license is sought; and

(2) a licensed physician who declares in writing that the person does not show any trace of drug dependency or illegal drug use after a physical examination, blood test, or other medical test.

(b) An agency hiring a person for whom a license as an officer or county jailer is sought shall select the examining physician and the examining psychologist or psychiatrist. The agency shall prepare a report of each declaration required by Subsection (a) and shall maintain a copy of the report on file in a format readily accessible to the commission. A declaration is not public information.

Occ. Code § 1701.306. Therefore, the department must withhold the L-2 and L-3 declarations we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code.

Next, we note that the submitted information includes W-4 forms. Prior decisions of this office have held that section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code, which is also encompassed by section 552.101 of the Government Code, renders tax return information confidential. *See* Attorney General Opinion H-1274 (1978) (tax returns); Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (W-4 forms). The term “return information” includes “the nature, source, or amount of income” of a taxpayer. *See* 26 U.S.C. § 6103(b)(2). Therefore, the department must withhold the submitted W-4 forms under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 6103 of title 26 of the United States Code.

Next, we note that the submitted information includes an I-9 Form, which is governed by section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code. This section provides that the form “may not be used for purposes other than for enforcement of this chapter” and for enforcement of other federal statutes governing crime and criminal investigations. 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(b)(5). Release of this document under the Act would be “for purposes other than for enforcement” of the referenced federal statute. Accordingly, we conclude that the submitted I-9 Form is confidential for purposes of section 552.101 of the Government Code and may only be released in compliance with the federal laws and regulations governing the employment verification system.

The submitted fingerprint information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 in conjunction with chapter 560 of the Government Code. Chapter 560 of the Government Code provides that a governmental body may not release fingerprint information except in certain limited circumstances. *See* Gov’t Code §§ 560.001 (defining “biometric identifier” to include fingerprints), .002 (prescribing manner in which biometric identifiers must be maintained and circumstances in which they can be released), .003 (providing that biometric identifiers in possession of governmental body are exempt from disclosure under Act). You do not inform us, and the submitted information does not indicate, that section 560.002 permits the disclosure of the submitted fingerprint information in this instance. Therefore, the fingerprint information we have marked must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses confidentiality relating to criminal history record database information (“CHRI”). CHRI generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center is confidential. Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety (“DPS”) maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov’t Code § 411.083.

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090 - .127. Thus, any CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may not be made available to the requestor except in accordance with federal regulations. *See* Open Records Decision 565. Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. Accordingly, the CHRI we have marked must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with federal law and chapter 411 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses section 411.192 of the Government Code, which governs the release of all information maintained by the DPS concerning the licensure of individuals to carry a concealed handgun, and provides as follows:

[DPS] shall disclose to a criminal justice agency information contained in its files and records regarding whether a named individual or any individual named in a specified list is licensed under this subchapter. [DPS] shall, on written request and payment of a reasonable fee to cover costs of copying, disclose to any other individual whether a named individual or any individual whose full name is listed on a specified written list is licensed under this subchapter. Information on an individual subject to disclosure under this section includes the individual's name, date of birth, gender, race, and zip code. Except as otherwise provided by this section and by Section 411.193, all other records maintained under this subchapter are confidential and are not subject to mandatory disclosure under the open records law, Chapter 552, Government Code, except that the applicant or license holder may be furnished a copy of disclosable records on request and the payment of a reasonable fee.

Gov't Code § 411.192. The submitted documents contain information concerning the officer's concealed handgun license. In this instance, the circumstances of the request do not meet the access requirements of section 411.192. Therefore, the department must withhold this information, which we have marked, pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 411.192 of the Government Code.

Next, you indicate that some of the submitted information is excepted from required public disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.102 of the Government Code in conjunction with the doctrine of common law privacy. Section 552.102 excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." *Id.* § 552.102(a). In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Tex. Newspapers*, 652 S.W.2d 546 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court ruled that the test to be

applied to information claimed to be protected under section 552.102(a) is the same as the test formulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* for information claimed to be protected under the doctrine of common law privacy as incorporated by section 552.101 of the Act. *See Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 683-85 (Tex. 1976). Accordingly, we will consider your privacy claims under section 552.101 and section 552.102(a) together.

In *Industrial Foundation*, the Texas Supreme Court stated that information is excepted from disclosure if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the release of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* at 685. The types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. This office has found that personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is excepted from required public disclosure under common law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 600, 545 (1990), 523 (1989).

Generally, however, the public has a legitimate interest in information that relates to public employment and public employees. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 562 at 10 (1990) (personnel file information does not involve most intimate aspects of human affairs, but in fact touches on matters of legitimate public concern), 542 at 5 (1990) (information in public employee's resume not protected by constitutional or common law privacy under statutory predecessors to 552.101 and 552.102). Information that pertains to an employee's actions as a public servant generally cannot be considered to be beyond the realm of legitimate public interest. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 at 4 (1987) (public has legitimate interest in job qualifications and performance of public employees), 444 at 5-6 (1986) (public has legitimate interest in knowing reasons for dismissal, demotion, promotion, or resignation of public employees), 423 at 2 (1984) (scope of public employee privacy is narrow). After reviewing the submitted information, we have marked a small amount information that is protected under common law privacy. However, we find that the remaining submitted information is of legitimate public interest. Accordingly, the department must withhold only the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common law privacy.

Next, we note that section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code is applicable to a portion of the submitted information.<sup>1</sup> Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from disclosure the current and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security numbers, and family member

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

information regarding a peace officer regardless of whether the officer elected under section 552.024 or 552.1175 of the Government Code to keep such information confidential. *See* Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(2). Thus, the department must withhold the peace officer information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2).

The submitted documents also contain information pertaining to peace officers who are not employed by the department. Section 552.1175 of the Government Code applies to peace officers<sup>2</sup> and provides in pertinent part:

(b) Information that relates to the home address, home telephone number, or social security number of an individual to whom this section applies, or that reveals whether the individual has family members is confidential and may not be disclosed to the public under this chapter if the individual to whom the information relates:

(1) chooses to restrict public access to the information; and

(2) notifies the governmental body of the individual's choice on a form provided by the governmental body, accompanied by evidence of the individual's status.

*Id.* § 552.1175(b). This provision allows a peace officer who is not employed by the department to elect to restrict access to his home address and telephone number, social security number, and family member information. We note that an individual's personal post office box number is not a "home address" for purposes of section 552.1175, and therefore may not be withheld under this section. *See* Open Records Decision No. 658 at 4 (1998) (statutory confidentiality provision must be express and cannot be implied). Thus, to the extent the information we have marked relates to a currently licensed peace officer who elects to restrict access to the information in accordance with section 552.1175(b), this information must be withheld from disclosure under section 552.1175 of the Government Code.

Next, section 552.130 of the Government Code provides in relevant part:

(a) Information is excepted from the requirement of Section 552.021 if the information relates to:

(1) a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state; [or]

---

<sup>2</sup>"Peace officer" is defined by Article 2.12 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure.

(2) a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state; or

(3) a personal identification document issued by an agency of this state or a local agency authorized to issue an identification document.

Gov't Code § 552.130(a)(1)-(3). Accordingly, the department must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.130.

Next, we note that the submitted information contains an insurance policy number subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code. Section 552.136 provides as follows:

(a) In this section, "access device" means a card, plate, code, account number, personal identification number, electronic serial number, mobile identification number, or other telecommunications service, equipment, or instrument identifier or means of account access that alone or in conjunction with another access device may be used to:

(1) obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value; or

(2) initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.

*Id.* § 552.136. We have marked the insurance policy number that the department must withhold under section 552.136.

Finally, we note that some of the submitted information appears to be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990). Thus, the remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor, but any information protected by copyright must be released in accordance with copyright law.

In summary, the department must withhold the following information in conjunction with section 552.101 of the Government Code: (1) the L-2 and L-3 declarations pursuant to section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code; (2) the W-4 form pursuant to section 6103 of

title 26 of the United States Code; (3) the I-9 form pursuant to section 1324a of title 8 of the United States Code; (4) the fingerprint information pursuant to section 560.003 of the Government Code; (5) the CHRI pursuant to chapter 411 of the Government Code; (6) the concealed handgun license pursuant to section 411.192 of the Government Code; and (7) the marked information pursuant to common law privacy. The department must also withhold the peace officer information we have marked pursuant to section 552.117(a)(2) of the Government Code. If the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.1175 of the Government Code pertains to a currently licensed peace officer who elects to restrict access to this information, the department must withhold the marked personal information. The department must also withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code, and the insurance policy number we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released to the requestor, but any information protected by copyright must be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Shelli Egger". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped "E" at the end.

Shelli Egger  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SE/krl

Ref: ID# 271169

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. David Solis  
Rodriguez Legal Group  
2314 West University Drive, Suite 200  
Edinburg, Texas 78539  
(w/o enclosures)