



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

March 27, 2007

Ms. Margo Kaiser  
Staff Attorney  
Texas Workforce Commission  
101 East 15<sup>th</sup> Street  
Austin, Texas 78778-0001

OR2007-03339

Dear Ms. Kaiser:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 274339.

The Texas Workforce Commission (the "commission") received a request for information pertaining to a specified discrimination complaint. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.111, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

The commission claims that the submitted information is subject to the federal Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"). Section 2000e-5(b) of title 42 of the United States Code states in relevant part the following:

Whenever a charge is filed by or on behalf of a person claiming to be aggrieved . . . alleging that an employer . . . has engaged in an unlawful employment practice, the [Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC")] shall serve a notice of the charge . . . on such employer . . ., and shall make an investigation thereof . . . . Charges shall not be made public by the [EEOC]."

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(b). The EEOC is authorized by statute to utilize the services of state fair employment practices agencies to assist in meeting its statutory mandate to enforce laws prohibiting discrimination. *See id.* § 2000e-4(g)(1). The commission informs us that it has a contract with the EEOC to investigate claims of employment discrimination allegations. The commission asserts that under the terms of this contract, “access to charge and complaint files is governed by FOIA, including the exceptions to disclosure found in FOIA.” The commission claims that because the EEOC would withhold the submitted information under section 552(b)(5) of title 5 of the United States Code, the commission should also withhold this information on this basis. We note, however, that FOIA is applicable to information held by an agency of the federal government. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 551(1). The information at issue was created and is maintained by the commission, which is subject to the state laws of Texas. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-95 (1979) (FOIA exceptions apply to federal agencies, not to state agencies); Open Records Decision Nos. 496 (1988), 124 (1976); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 561 at 7 n. 3 (1990) (federal authorities may apply confidentiality principles found in FOIA differently from way in which such principles are applied under Texas open records law); *Davidson v. Georgia*, 622 F.2d 895, 897 (5th Cir. 1980) (state governments are not subject to FOIA). Furthermore, this office has stated in numerous opinions that information in the possession of a governmental body of the State of Texas is not confidential or excepted from disclosure merely because the same information is or would be confidential in the hands of a federal agency. *See, e.g.*, Attorney General Opinion MW-95 (1979) (neither FOIA nor federal Privacy Act of 1974 applies to records held by state or local governmental bodies in Texas); Open Records Decision No. 124 (1976) (fact that information held by federal agency is excepted by FOIA does not necessarily mean that same information is excepted under the Act when held by Texas governmental body). You do not cite to any federal law, nor are we aware of any such law, that would pre-empt the applicability of the Act and allow the EEOC to make FOIA applicable to information created and maintained by a state agency. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-830 (1987) (EEOC lacks authority to require a state agency to ignore state statutes). Thus, you have not shown how the contract between the EEOC and the commission makes FOIA applicable to the commission in this instance. Accordingly, the commission may not withhold the submitted information pursuant to the exceptions available under FOIA.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” This exception encompasses information protected by statutes. Pursuant to section 21.204 of the

Labor Code, the commission may investigate a complaint of an unlawful employment practice. *See* Lab. Code § 21.204; *see also id.* §§ 21.0015 (powers of Commission on Human Rights under Labor Code chapter 21 transferred to commission's civil rights division), 21.201. Section 21.304 of the Labor Code provides that "[a]n officer or employee of the commission may not disclose to the public information obtained by the commission under Section 21.204 except as necessary to the conduct of a proceeding under this chapter." *Id.* § 21.304.

You indicate that the submitted information pertains to complaints of unlawful employment practices investigated by the commission under section 21.204 and on behalf of the EEOC. We therefore agree that the submitted information is confidential under section 21.304 of the Labor Code. However, we note that the requestor is an attorney representing a party to the complaint. Section 21.305 of the Labor Code concerns the release of commission records to a party of a complaint filed under section 21.201 and provides the following:

(a) The commission shall adopt rules allowing a party to a complaint filed under Section 21.201 reasonable access to commission records relating to the complaint.

(b) Unless the complaint is resolved through a voluntary settlement or conciliation, on the written request of a party the executive director shall allow the party access to the commission records:

(1) after the final action of the commission; or

(2) if a civil action relating to the complaint is filed in federal court alleging a violation of federal law.

*Id.* § 21.305. At section 819.92 of title 40 of the Texas Administrative Code, the commission has adopted rules that govern access to its records by a party to a complaint. Section 819.92 provides the following:

Pursuant to Texas Labor Code § 21.304 and § 21.305, [the commission] shall, on written request of a party to perfected complaint under Texas Labor Code, § 21.201, allow the party access to the [commission's] records, unless

the perfected complaint has been resolved through a voluntary settlement or conciliation agreement:

- (1) following the final action of the [commission]; or
- (2) if a party to the perfected complaint or the party's attorney certifies in writing that a civil action relating to the perfected complaint is pending in federal court alleging a violation of federal law.

40 T.A.C. § 819.92. The commission has completed its investigation of the complaint at issue, and the complaint was not resolved through a voluntary settlement or conciliation agreement. Thus, the requestor would have a right of access pursuant to sections 21.305 and 819.92. This office has long held that information that is specifically made public by statute may not be withheld from the public under any of the exceptions to public disclosure under the Act. *E.g.*, Open Records Decision Nos. 544 (1990), 378 (1983), 161 (1977), 146 (1976). However, you seek to withhold the submitted information under section 552.111. In support of your contention, you claim that, in *Mace v. EEOC*, 37 F. Supp.2d 1144 (E.D. Mo. 1999), a federal court recognized a similar exception by finding that “the EEOC could withhold an investigator’s memorandum as predecisional under [FOIA] as part of the deliberative process.” In the *Mace* decision, however, there was no access provision analogous to sections 21.305 and 819.92. The court did not have to decide whether the EEOC may withhold the document under section 552(b)(5) of title 5 of the United States Code despite the applicability of an access provision. We therefore conclude that the present case is distinguishable from the court’s decision in *Mace*. Furthermore, in Open Records Decision No. 534 (1989), this office examined whether the statutory predecessor to section 21.304 of the Labor Code protected from disclosure the Commission on Human Rights’ investigative files into discrimination charges filed with the EEOC. We stated that, while the statutory predecessor to section 21.304 of the Labor Code made all information collected or created by the Commission on Human Rights during its investigation of a complaint confidential, “[t]his does not mean, however, that the commission is authorized to withhold the information from the parties subject to the investigation.” *See* Open Records Decision No. 534 at 7 (1989). Therefore, we concluded that the release provision grants a special right of access to a party to a complaint. Thus, because access to the commission’s records created under section 21.201 is governed by sections 21.305 and 819.92, we

determine that the submitted information may not be withheld by the commission under section 552.111.

However, the submitted information includes information pertaining to mediation and conciliation efforts. You also raise section 552.101 in conjunction with section 21.207(b) of the Labor Code for this information. Section 21.207(b) provides in part:

(b) Without the written consent of the complainant and respondent, the commission, its executive director, or its other officers or employees may not disclose to the public information about the efforts in a particular case to resolve an alleged discriminatory practice by conference, conciliation, or persuasion, regardless of whether there is a determination of reasonable cause.

Labor Code § 21.207(b). You inform us that the information you have marked consists of information regarding efforts at mediation or conciliation between the parties to the dispute, and that the commission has not received the written consent of both parties to release the submitted information at issue. Based on your representations and our review, we determine that the information you have marked concerning efforts at mediation or conciliation is confidential pursuant to section 21.207(b) of the Labor Code and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Next, we address your arguments with respect to the rest of the submitted information. You state that the remaining information consists of claim information and wage records. You contend that the information in question is confidential under federal and state law.<sup>2</sup>

Section 552.101 also encompasses the regulations found at section 603 of title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which send a clear message that “claim information” in the files of a state unemployment compensation agency is to be disclosed only to a “receiving agency,” as defined in the regulations, or to other specified parties. *See* 20 C.F.R. § 603.1 *et seq.*; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 476 at 4 (1987). Otherwise, pursuant to section 603.4(c) of title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations, state unemployment compensation agencies,

---

<sup>2</sup>We note that a federal statute or an administrative regulation enacted pursuant to statutory authority can provide statutory confidentiality for purposes of section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision No. 476 (1987) (addressing statutory predecessor).

such as the commission, must protect the confidentiality of claim information. "Claim information" means information regarding whether an individual is receiving, has received, or has applied for unemployment compensation, the amount of compensation the individual is receiving, as well as "[a]ny other information contained in the records of the State agency that is needed by the requesting agency to verify eligibility for, and the amount of, benefits." 20 C.F.R. § 603.2(a). We also note that the names of employers and employees who file unemployment compensation appeals fall within the definition of "claim information" and that the federal regulations prohibit the commission from disclosing this information. *See id.* § 603.4(b); Open Records Decision No. 476 at 4 (1987).

The federal Social Security Act requires states to comply with the directives of the United States Department of Labor (the "department") in administering state unemployment insurance ("UI") programs, and section 603.5 specifies the conditions under which such claim information may be released. *See* 20 C.F.R. § 603.5 (lists permissible disclosures of confidential claim information). You state that the submitted records include UI claim information. You also state that the requestor does not fall into any of the exceptions to the confidentiality requirements imposed by the applicable federal and state laws and regulations. You also state that the release provided by the requestor does not meet the statutory requirements of section 603.5(d)(2). *See* 20 C.F.R. § 603.5(d)(2) (stating the requirements of a written release pertaining to claim records). Based on your representations and our review, we conclude that the commission must withhold the submitted claim information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with federal law.

You also raise section 552.101 in conjunction with section 301.081 of the Labor Code, which provides in part:

- (a) Each employing unit shall keep employment records containing information as prescribed by the commission and as necessary for the proper administration of this title. The records are open to inspection and may be copied by the commission or an authorized representative of the commission at any reasonable time and as often as necessary.
- (b) The commission may require from an employing unit sworn or unsworn reports regarding persons employed by the employing unit as necessary for the effective administration of this title.

(c) Employment information thus obtained or otherwise secured may not be published and is not open to public inspection, other than to a public employee in the performance of public duties, except as the commission considers necessary for the proper administration of this title.

Labor Code § 301.081. This office interpreted the predecessor provision of section 301.081(c) to apply to information the commission obtained from the records and reports that employers are required to file with the commission. *See* Open Records Decision No. 599 (1992) (construing former V.T.C.S. art. 5221b-9). You indicate that the responsive records also include wage record information that was compiled from quarterly reports submitted to the commission for the purpose of administering the state UI program. Based on your representations, we conclude that the commission must withhold the submitted wage record information under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 301.081 of the Labor Code.

You also assert that portions of the submitted information are excepted from disclosure under section 552.147 of the Government Code. However, because the requestor in this instance has a statutory right of access to the information at issue, the commission may not withhold any of this information from the requestor pursuant to section 552.147 of the Government Code. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994) (exceptions in the Act generally inapplicable to information that statutes expressly make public), 613 at 4 (1993) (exceptions in Act cannot impinge on statutory right of access to information), 451 (1986) (specific statutory right of access provisions overcome general exceptions to disclosure under the Act.).

In summary, the commission must withhold the information concerning efforts at mediation or conciliation that you have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with sections 21.207. The commission must withhold the submitted UI claim information under section 552.101 in conjunction with federal law. The submitted wage record information must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with 301.081 of the Labor Code. The commission must release the remaining information.

The commission also requests a previous determination that wage record information is excepted from public disclosure under the Act. We decline to issue a previous determination at this time. Accordingly, this letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this

request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

Ms. Margo Kaiser - Page 9

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "L. Joseph James".

L. Joseph James  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LJJ/eb

Ref: ID# 274339

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Martha Steczkowski  
Denton, Navarro, Rocha & Bernal  
2517 North Main Avenue  
San Antonio, Texas 78212  
(w/o enclosures)