



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 11, 2007

Ms. Ellen H. Spalding
Feldman & Rogers, L.L.P.
5718 Westheimer Road, Suite 1200
Houston, Texas 77057

OR2007-04026

Dear Ms. Spalding:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 275645.

The Eanes Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for documents that show or reflect legal invoices; legal contracts, agreements, or retainers for legal services; legal expenditures or expenses; and legal budgets. You seek to withhold some of the submitted information under sections 552.103 and 552.136 of the Government Code and Texas Rule of Evidence 503.¹ We have considered your arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. This section provides that the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

¹Although you raise section 552.101 in conjunction with the attorney-client privilege, this office has concluded that section 552.101 does not encompass discovery privileges. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 1-2 (2002), 575 at 2 (1990).

...

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body; [and]

...

(16) information that is in a bill for attorney's fees and that is not privileged under the attorney-client privilege[.]

Gov't Code. § 552.022(a)(3), (16). In this instance, the submitted information consists of a contract and invoices relating to the expenditure of public funds and attorney fee bills. Therefore, the information must be released under section 552.022 unless it is confidential under other law. Section 552.103 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects the governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision No. 542 at 4 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 may be waived); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 522 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). As such, section 552.103 is not other law that makes information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022. Therefore, the district may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

The Texas Supreme Court has held, however, that the Texas Rules of Evidence are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). The attorney-client privilege also is found at Texas Rule of Evidence 503. Accordingly, we will consider your assertion of this privilege under rule 503 with respect to the information in the attorney fee bills.

Texas Rule of Evidence 503 enacts the attorney-client privilege. Rule 503(b)(1) provides as follows:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

- (A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;
- (B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
- (C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a

representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;

(D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or

(E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is “confidential” if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5).

Thus, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must: (1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3) show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the information is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You indicate that the submitted attorney fee bills contain confidential communications between the district’s attorneys and the district that were made for the purposes of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the district. Based on your representations and our review of the submitted information, we agree that the attorney fee bills contain information that reveals confidential communications between privileged parties. Accordingly, we have marked the information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege and may therefore be withheld pursuant to rule 503 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. Some of the remaining information, however, does not consist of or reveal confidential attorney-client communications. Further, some of the remaining information documents communication to individuals whom you have not identified as clients, client representatives, lawyers, or lawyer representatives. Thus, you have failed to demonstrate that any of this remaining information documents privileged attorney-client communications. Accordingly, none of the remaining information may be withheld under Texas Rule of Evidence 503.

The remaining information includes a credit card number. Section 552.136(b) states that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental

body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136. Thus, the district must withhold the credit card number that we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code.

In summary, the district may withhold the information we have marked under Texas Rule of Evidence 503. The district must withhold the credit card number we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.²

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep’t of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

²We note that the remaining information includes a social security number. Section 552.147(b) authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person’s social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



L. Joseph James
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

LJJ/eeg

Ref: ID# 275645

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Diana Pharr
c/o Ellen H. Spalding
Feldman & Rogers, L.L.P.
5718 Westheimer Road, Suite 1200
Houston, Texas 77057
(w/o enclosures)