



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 11, 2007

Ms. Holly C. Lytle
Assistant County Attorney
El Paso County Texas
County Courthouse
500 East San Antonio, Room 503
El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2007-04060

Dear Ms. Lytle:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 275544.

The El Paso County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for the prosecution files pertaining to a named defendant. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.111, 552.130, and 552.136 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information, a portion of which is a representative sample.¹

Initially, you contend that the information in Attachment C constitutes records of the grand jury. This office has concluded that a grand jury is not a governmental body that is subject to the Act, so records that are within the actual or constructive possession of a grand jury are not subject to disclosure under the Act. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.003(1)(B) (Act's definition of governmental body does not include judiciary), .0035 (access to information collected, assembled, or maintained by or for judiciary is governed by rules adopted by Supreme Court of Texas or other applicable laws and rules); Open Records Decision No. 513 at 3 (1988) (information held by grand jury, which is extension of judiciary for purposes of Act, is not

¹We assume the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

itself subject to Act). When an individual or an entity acts at the direction of the grand jury as its agent, information prepared or collected by the agent is within the grand jury's constructive possession and is not subject to the Act. *See* Open Records Decision No. 513 at 3. Information that is not so held or maintained is subject to the Act and may be withheld from the public only if a specific exception to disclosure is shown to be applicable. *Id.* However, "the fact that information collected or prepared by the district attorney is submitted to the grand jury, when taken alone, does not mean that the information is in the grand jury's constructive possession when the same information is also held by the district attorney." *Id.*

You state that the information in Attachment C was obtained by the district attorney through the use of a grand jury subpoena at the direction of the grand jury. You also indicate that the district attorney is holding these records as an agent of the grand jury. Therefore, the information in Attachment C is not subject to disclosure under the Act.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code exempts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses confidential criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center ("NCIC") or by the Texas Crime Information Center ("TCIC"). Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except that DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See id.* § 411.083. Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI; however, a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090 - .127. Furthermore, any CHRI obtained from DPS or any other criminal justice agency must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with Government Code chapter 411, subchapter F. We note that the statutory definition of CHRI does not encompass driving record information maintained by DPS under subchapter C of chapter 521 of the Transportation Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.082(2)(B). Accordingly, the district attorney must withhold the CHRI we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with federal law and chapter 411 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses Chapter 560 of the Government Code, which provides that a governmental body may not release fingerprint information except in certain limited circumstances. *See* Gov't Code §§ 560.001 (defining "biometric identifier" to include fingerprints), .002 (prescribing manner in which biometric identifiers must be maintained and circumstances in which they can be released), .003 (providing that biometric identifiers in possession of governmental body are exempt from disclosure under Act). You do not inform us, and the submitted information does not indicate, that section 560.002 permits the disclosure of the submitted fingerprint information. Therefore, the district attorney must withhold the fingerprint information you have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. In *Industrial Foundation v. Texas Industrial Accident Board*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), the Texas Supreme Court held that information is protected by common-law privacy if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and (2) is not of a legitimate concern to the public. To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Industrial Foundation*, 540 S.W.2d at 681-82. This office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under common law privacy: some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps); and personal financial information not relating to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, *see* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992), 545 (1990). We have reviewed the submitted documents and marked the information that is highly intimate or embarrassing and of no legitimate concern to the public. This marked information is confidential under the doctrine of common-law privacy and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We find, however, that the remaining information is either not intimate or embarrassing or is of a legitimate public interest. Therefore, none of the remaining information is confidential under the doctrine of common-law privacy, and it may not be withheld under section 552.101 on that basis.

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an interagency or intraagency memorandum or letter that would not be available by law to a party in litigation with the agency.” Gov’t Code § 552.111. This exception encompasses the attorney work product privilege found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. *City of Garland v. Dallas Morning News*, 22 S.W.3d 351, 360 (Tex. 2000); Open Records Decision No. 677 at 4-8 (2002). Rule 192.5 defines work product as

(1) material prepared or mental impressions developed in anticipation of litigation or for trial by or for a party or a party’s representatives, including the party’s attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees, or agents; or

(2) a communication made in anticipation of litigation or for trial between a party and the party’s representatives or among a party’s representatives, including the party’s attorneys, consultants, sureties, indemnitors, insurers, employees or agents.

TEX. R. CIV. P. 192.5. A governmental body seeking to withhold information under this exception bears the burden of demonstrating that the information was created or developed for trial or in anticipation of litigation by or for a party or a party’s representative. *Id.*; ORD 677 at 6-8. In order for this office to conclude that the information was made or developed in anticipation of litigation, we must be satisfied that: 1) a reasonable person would have concluded from the totality of the circumstances surrounding the investigation that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue; and 2) the party resisting

discovery believed in good faith that there was a substantial chance that litigation would ensue and [created or obtained the information] for the purpose of preparing for such litigation. *Nat'l Tank Co. v. Brotherton*, 851 S.W.2d 193, 207 (Tex. 1993). A “substantial chance” of litigation does not mean a statistical probability, but rather “that litigation is more than merely an abstract possibility or unwarranted fear.” *Id.* at 204; ORD 677 at 7.

You state that the submitted information contained in Attachment F consists of a district attorney’s handwritten notes and communications between a district attorney and the district attorney’s investigator made in preparation for trial. You indicate that this information contains the individuals’ mental impressions. Upon review of your arguments and the submitted information, we find that the district attorney may withhold Attachment F under section 552.111 of the Government Code as attorney work product.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that “relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator’s or driver’s license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state.” Gov’t Code § 552.130. The district attorney must withhold the information you have marked, as well as the additional information we have marked, under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary, the information in Attachment C is not subject to disclosure under the Act. The district attorney must withhold the following: (1) the information we have marked under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with federal law and chapter 411 of the Government Code, (2) the fingerprint information you have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 560.003 of the Government Code, (3) the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy, and (4) the information you have marked, as well as the additional information we have marked, under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The district attorney may withhold Attachment F under section 552.111 of the Government Code as attorney work product. The remaining information must be released to the requestor.² As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by

²We note that the submitted information contains social security numbers. Section 552.147(b) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact a living person’s social security number from public release without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office under the Act. *See* Gov’t Code § 552.147(b).

filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jaime L. Flores
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLF/jb

Ref: ID# 275544

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Peter A. Zamora
Shelton & Valadez, P.C.
600 Navarro, Suite 500
San Antonio, Texas 78205
(w/o enclosures)