ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 7, 2007

Ms. P. Armstrong
Assistant City Attorney
Criminal Law and Police Division
1400 South Lamar
Dallas, Texas 75215

OR2007-05311

Dear Ms. Armstrong:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 278713.

The Dallas Police Department (the "department") received two requests for information pertaining to an internal administrative investigation of a named officer. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.117, 552.1175, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.[1]

Initially, you acknowledge, and we agree, that you failed to comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in seeking an open records decision from this office. A governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless the governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information from

[1]We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). The presumption that information is public under section 552.302 can be overcome by demonstrating that the information is confidential by law or third-party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). The need of a governmental body, other than the agency that is seeking an open records decision, to withhold information under section 552.108 of the Government Code can provide a compelling reason to withhold information from disclosure. Open Records Decision No. 586 (1991). Because you inform us that the Dallas District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") objects to the release of the requested information, we will consider your claim regarding section 552.108. Sections 552.101, 552.117, 552.1175, and 552.147 can also provide compelling reasons to overcome this presumption; therefore, we will also consider whether these sections require the department to withhold the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information that is highly intimate or embarrassing such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person and the public has no legitimate interest in it. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976). The types of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* include information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683.

The submitted information pertains to an internal administrative investigation of the officer for sexual assault and other violations of department policy. Generally, only the information that either identifies or tends to identify a victim of sexual assault or other sex-related offense may be withheld under common-law privacy. However, a governmental body is required to withhold an entire report when identifying information is inextricably intertwined with other releasable information or when the requestor knows the identity of the alleged victim. *See* Open Records Decisions Nos. 393 (1983), 339 (1982); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 440 (1986) (detailed descriptions of serious sexual offenses must be withheld).

The first requestor, who submitted his request for information on October 31, 2006, represents the officer at issue and knows the identity of the alleged victim. Thus, withholding only the identifying information of the victim from the first requestor would not preserve the victim's common-law right to privacy. We therefore conclude that the department must withhold the submitted information in its entirety from the first requestor pursuant to the common-law privacy principles incorporated by section 552.101 of the Government Code. There is no indication that the second requestor, who submitted her

request for information on November 1, 2006, knows the identity of the alleged victim; therefore, we address whether the department may withhold the submitted information from the second requestor under the Act.

You assert that some of the information responsive to the second request is excepted under section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a)(1) excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [if] release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." A governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). Section 552.108 may be invoked by the proper custodian of information relating to an investigation or prosecution of criminal conduct. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 474 at 4-5 (1987). However, section 552.108 generally is not applicable to an internal administrative investigation involving a law enforcement officer that did not result in a criminal investigation or prosecution. *See City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn*, 86 S.W.3d 320 (Tex. App. 2002, no pet.); Open Records Decision No. 562 at 10 (1990); *Morales v. Ellen*, 840 S.W.2d 519, 525-26 (Tex. Civ. App.–El Paso 1992, writ denied) (statutory predecessor not applicable to internal investigation that did not result in criminal investigation or prosecution); Open Records Decision No. 350 at 3-4 (1982). We also note that, where a governmental body possesses information relating to a pending case of a law enforcement agency, the governmental body may withhold the information under section 552.108 if (1) it demonstrates that the information relates to the pending case and (2) this office is provided with a representation from the law enforcement entity that the law enforcement entity wishes to withhold the information.

You inform us that the submitted information relates to a pending criminal investigation and that the district attorney believes that "release of this information at this time will negatively affect its ability to successfully prosecute this case." Based on these representations, we conclude that the release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. *See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e.*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). Therefore, the department may withhold from the second requestor the information you have marked under section 552.108(a)(1).

We note that the remaining information responsive to the second request for information includes identifying information of the alleged sexual-assault victim. This information, which we have marked, is confidential under common-law privacy and the department must withhold it under section 552.101 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 552.101; *Indus. Found.*, 540 S.W.2d at 685. However, we find that none of the remaining information

is confidential under common-law privacy, and the department may not withhold any of it under section 552.101 on that ground.

To conclude, the department must withhold from the first requestor the submitted information in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. For the second requestor, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy and it may withhold the information you have marked under section 552.108. The department must release the remaining information to the second requestor. As our ruling is dispositive, we do not address your other arguments for exception of the submitted information.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

James L. Coggeshall
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JLC/jb

Ref: ID# 278713

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. John A. Haring
Lyon, Gorsky, Haring & Gilbert, L.L.P.
3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100
Dallas, Texas 75204
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Nancy Elizabeth Ohan
1700 Commerce, Suite 720
Dallas, Texas 75201
(w/o enclosures)