



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

June 28, 2007

Mr. Chris Kadas  
General Counsel  
Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation  
P.O. Box 12157  
Austin, Texas 78711

OR2007-08239

Dear Mr. Kadas:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 282372.

The Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation (the "department") received two requests for pursue information for contestants in a combative sports event held on April 14, 2007. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. You provide documentation showing that you notified the interested third parties of the department's receipt of the request for information and of each party's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released to the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). This office has found that personal financial

information not related to a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body is generally protected by common-law privacy. *See generally* Open Records Decision Nos. 600 (1992) (public employee's withholding allowance certificate, designation of beneficiary of employee's retirement benefits, direct deposit authorization, and employee's decisions regarding voluntary benefits programs, among others, are protected under common-law privacy), 545 (1990) (deferred compensation information, mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history protected under common-law privacy), 373 (1983) (sources of income not related to financial transaction between individual and governmental body protected under common-law privacy). In this instance, the information at issue involves promoter-contestant contracts. You claim that the contracts constitute personal financial information of the contestants that do not relate to financial transactions between the individuals and a governmental body. Based on your representations and our review, we conclude that the submitted information is protected by common-law privacy and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Allan D. Meesey  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

ADM/sdk

Ref: ID# 282372

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Ronnie Nathanielsz  
Viva Sports  
7<sup>th</sup> Floor, East Tower  
Tektite Building  
Pasig City  
Philippines  
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Rachel Wilkes  
Greenberg Glusker  
1900 Avenue of the Stars, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90067  
(w/o enclosures)

Top Rank, Inc.  
3980 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 580  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89109  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jorge Solis  
Santa Clemencia #2201 Col. Tqlpita  
Guadalajara Jalisco, Mexico 44710  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Emmanuel Pacquiao  
2315 West Avenue, #33  
Los Angeles, California 90065  
(w/o enclosures)