



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 21, 2007

Ms. Wendy E. Ogden
Assistant City Attorney
City of Corpus Christi
P.O. Box 9277
Corpus Christi, Texas 78469-9277

OR2007-10824

Dear Ms. Ogden:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 287026.

The City of Corpus Christi (the "city") received a request for information pertaining to Cost Allocation Plans RFP # BI016707. You state that you have released some of the responsive information. Although the city raises no arguments against disclosure of the submitted proposals, the city believes this information may involve the proprietary interests of third parties Maximus, Inc. ("Maximus") and Public Consulting Group ("Public"). Accordingly, you inform us, and provide documentation showing, that pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, the city notified Maximus and Public of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments explaining why the proposals should not be released. *See* Gov't Code §552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See*

Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received any arguments from Maximus or Public for withholding the submitted proposals. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that the release of either proposal would harm the proprietary interests of these third parties. *See id.* § 551.110(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (stating that business enterprise that claims exception for commercial or financial information under section 552.110(b) must show by specific factual evidence that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish prima facie case that information is trade secret). Accordingly, we conclude that the city may not withhold the proposals on the basis of any proprietary interest that Maximus or Public may have in them.

However, we note that Maximus' proposal contains insurance policy numbers. Section 552.136 of the Government Code provides:

(a) In this section, "access device" means a card, plate, code, account number, personal identification number, electronic serial number, mobile identification number, or other telecommunications service, equipment, or instrument identifier or means of account access that alone or in conjunction with another access device may be used to:

- (1) obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value; or
- (2) initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.

Gov't Code § 552.136. Accordingly, the city must withhold the insurance policy numbers in Maximus' proposal pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code.

Finally, we note that some of the materials may be protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990). Thus, the submitted information must be released to the requestor in accordance with applicable copyright law.

In summary, the city must withhold the insurance policy numbers in Maximus' proposal under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The city must release the remaining submitted information, but any copyrighted information may only be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Alan Akin', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

M. Alan Akin
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

MAA/mcf

Ref: ID# 287026

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Monica Jones
Member Associate, FOIA
INPUT
10790 Parkridge Boulevard, Suite 200
Reston, Virginia 20191
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Marc Stublely
Public Consulting Group
505 East Huntland, Suite 380
Austin, Texas 78752
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Bruce Cowans
Senior Vice President
Maximus, Inc.
1033 Skokie Road, Suite 350
Northbrook, Illinois 60062
(w/o enclosures)