



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 29, 2007

Mr. J. Scott Wilson
In-House Counsel
Texas Municipal League
Intergovernmental Employee Benefits Pool
1821 Rutherford Lane, Suite #300
Austin, Texas 78754-5151

OR2007-11248

Dear J. Scott Wilson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 287752.

The Texas Municipal League (the "league") received a request for a copy of the top three proposals for EAP services, with the exception of the requestor's proposal, as well as a list of all bidders, pricing, and evaluation criteria scores. Although the league takes no position as to the disclosure of the requested information¹, you state that it may contain proprietary information subject to exception under the Act. Accordingly, you state that the league notified Deer Oaks EAP Services ("Deer Oaks") and Resources for Living, Ltd. ("Resources") of the request for information and of the right of each to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

¹Although the league raises section 552.101, you do not explain to this office how this exception applies to the submitted information. Accordingly, no part of the submitted information may be withheld on this basis. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See Gov't Code* § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Deer Oaks or Resources explaining why their requested information should not be released. We thus have no basis for concluding that any portion of the requested proposal information constitutes these parties' proprietary information protected under section 552.110, and none of it may be withheld on that basis. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990).

Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential."² *Gov't Code* § 552.136. The league must withhold the insurance policy numbers, as well as the bank account and routing numbers, that we have marked under section 552.136.

We note that some of the submitted information is protected by copyright. A custodian of public records must comply with the copyright law and is not required to furnish copies of records that are copyrighted. Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception applies to the information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, the person must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 (1990).

In summary, the league must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released. Information subject to copyright must be released in accordance with that law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception like section 552.136 of the Government Code on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Kara A. Batey
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KAB/jh

Ref: ID# 287752

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Rick Dielman
2525 Wallingwood Drive, Building 5
Austin, Texas 78746
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Paul Alan Boskind, CEO
Deer Oaks EAP Services
7272 Wurzbach Road, Suite 601
San Antonio, Texas 78240
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Wayne Parchman, CEO
Resources for Living, Ltd.
4407 Monterey Oaks Boulevard, Suite 150
Austin, Texas 78745
(w/o enclosures)