



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 1, 2007

Ms. Jerris Penrod Mapes  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Killeen  
402 North Second Street  
Killeen, Texas 76541-5298

OR2007-12717

Dear Ms. Mapes:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 290407.

The City of Killeen (the "city") received a request for two specified police reports. You state that some of the requested information has been released, but claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. Section 552.101 encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1) contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be satisfied. *Id.* at 681-82. The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. *Id.* at 683. Report #06-016072 contains information that is considered highly

intimate or embarrassing and is not of legitimate concern to the public. In most cases, only this information would be excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy; however, in this instance, the requestor knows the identity of the individual involved and the submitted information indicates that she knows the nature of the incident at issue. Under these circumstances, withholding only certain details of the incident from the requestor would not preserve the individual's common-law right of privacy. Accordingly, to protect the privacy of the individual to whom the information relates, report #06-016072 is confidential in its entirety under common-law privacy.

We note, however, that the requestor is the spouse of the individual at issue. Section 552.023 of the Government Code provides that a governmental body may not deny access to a person or a person's representative to whom the information relates on the grounds that the information is considered confidential under privacy principles. Gov't Code § 552.023(b). Accordingly, if the requestor is not the authorized representative of the individual at issue in report #06-016072, then the city must withhold this report in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy. However, if the requestor is the individual's authorized representative, then the city may not withhold the information on the basis of common-law privacy, and we will address your arguments under section 552.108 of the Government Code for report #06-016072 as well as the remaining submitted information. *See id.*

Section 552.108(a)(2) excepts from disclosure information concerning an investigation that concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(2). A governmental body claiming section 552.108(a)(2) must demonstrate that the requested information relates to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. You state that the submitted information pertains to criminal investigations that have been concluded by the Killeen Police Department (the "department") and that the department does not anticipate filing any other charges in these cases in the future. You state that, therefore, the investigations have concluded in a result other than conviction or deferred adjudication. Based on these representations, we agree that section 552.108(a)(2) is applicable to this information.

However, section 552.108 does not except from required public disclosure "basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime." Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Company v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ.App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex.1976). *See* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Thus, with the exception of basic information, which you state you have

released, you may withhold the submitted information from disclosure pursuant to section 552.108(a)(2).<sup>1</sup>

To conclude, with the exception of basic information, the city may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108 of the Government Code; however, if the requestor is not the authorized representative of the individual at issue in report #06-016072, then the city must withhold report #06-016072 in its entirety under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

---

<sup>1</sup>We also note that some of the basic information in report #06-016072, is confidential under common-law privacy; however, if the requestor is the representative of the individual at issue, then she has a right of access to this information, which otherwise would be excepted from release under the Act. *See* Gov't Code § 552.023.

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Paige Savoie  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

PS/ma

Ref: ID# 290407

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Amanda Huber  
3090 Sikes Drive  
Kempner, Texas 76539  
(w/o enclosures)