



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

October 15, 2007

Mr. Renaldo Stowers  
Assistant General Counsel  
University of North Texas  
P.O. Box 310907  
Denton, Texas 76203-0907

OR2007-13435

Dear Mr. Stowers:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 291857.

The University of North Texas (the "university") received a request for all information pertaining to a specified report of safety violations and the university's response to the report. You argue that the responsive information is excepted from public disclosure under sections 552.103, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code.

Pursuant to section 552.301(e) of the Government Code, the governmental body is required to submit to this office within fifteen business days of receiving the request (1) general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. *See Gov't Code* § 552.301(e)(1), (2). You inform us that the university received this request on July 26, 2007. Thus, the fifteen-day deadline to comply with section 552.301(e) was August 16, 2007. As of this date, you have not submitted to this office any general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, nor have you provided a copy or representative sample of the information requested. Consequently, we find that the university failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the requested information is public and must be released unless a compelling reason exists to withhold the information from disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to section 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). Generally speaking, a compelling reason to withhold information exists where some other source of law makes the information confidential or where third party interests are at stake. Open Records Decision No. 150 at 2 (1977). Although you raise sections 552.103, 552.107, and 552.111 of the Government Code as exceptions to disclosure of the information at issue, these exceptions are discretionary in nature. They serve only to protect a governmental body's interests and may be waived; as such, they do not constitute compelling reasons to withhold information for purposes of section 552.302. *See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); Open Records Decision Nos. 677 at 10 (2002) (attorney work-product privilege under section 552.111 is not compelling reason to withhold information under section 552.302), 676 at 12 (2002) (attorney-client privilege under section 552.107 constitutes compelling reason to withhold information under section 552.302 only if information's release would harm third party), 663 at 5 (1999) (governmental body may waive sections 552.103, 552.107, and 552.111), 470 (1987) (statutory predecessor to section 552.111 is discretionary exception); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions in general). Accordingly, the university may not withhold the information at issue pursuant to section 552.103, 552.107, or 552.111 of the Government Code. Further, because you have failed to submit any responsive information for our review, we have no basis for finding that a compelling reason exists to withhold the information. Thus, to the extent responsive information existed when the university received the request, we have no choice but to order you to release the responsive information in accordance with section 552.302 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Chanita Chantaplin-McLelland  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CC/jb

Ref: ID# 291857

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Nathan Routen  
c/o Renaldo Stowers  
P.O. Box 310907  
Denton, Texas 76203-0907  
(w/o enclosures)