



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

October 23, 2007

Ms. Carol Longoria
The University of Texas System
Office of the General Counsel
201 West Seventh Street
Austin, Texas 78701-2902

OR2007-13830

Dear Ms. Longoria:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 292653.

The University of Texas at Arlington (the "university") received a request for "all proposals from all bidders involved, including Carrizo's, and including all attachments, maps, and price and payment schedules." You state that the university does not have information responsive to the portion of the request pertaining to Carrizo.¹ You take no position with respect to the public availability of the submitted information. You believe, however, that the submitted information implicates the proprietary interests of LLANO Group ("LLANO"), Arlington Joint Venture Partners ("AJVP"), and Harding Company/DDJET ("Harding"). You notified LLANO, AJVP, and Harding of this request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why their information should not be released.² We received correspondence from AJVP. We have reviewed the submitted information.

¹We note that the Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when it received a request or create responsive information. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266 (Tex. Civ.App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dism'd); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 555 at 1 (1990), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

²*See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permitted governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under certain circumstances).

We first note, and you acknowledge, that the university did not comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting this decision. Section 552.301 prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is exempted from public disclosure. Section 552.301(b) requires the governmental body to ask for the attorney general's decision and state its claimed exceptions to disclosure not later than the tenth business day after the date of its receipt of the written request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b). If a governmental body fails to comply with section 552.301, the requested information is presumed to be public and must be released, unless there is a compelling reason to withhold any of the information. *See id.* § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ).

Thus, because the university did not comply with section 552.301 in requesting this decision, the submitted information is presumed public under section 552.302. This statutory presumption can generally be overcome when the information is confidential by law or third-party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days from the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305 of the Government Code to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to the third party should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this decision, this office has received no arguments from LLANO or Harding. Thus, as there has been no demonstration that any of LLANO or Harding's information is protected as proprietary information under section 552.110 of the Government Code, the university may not withhold any of their submitted information on that basis. *See id.* § 552.110(a)-(b); Open Records Decision Nos. 552 at 5 (1990), 661 at 5-6 (1999).

AAJVP raises section 552.101 of the Government Code, which excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This exception encompasses information that is considered to be confidential under other constitutional, statutory, or decisional law. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 611 at 1 (1992) (common-law privacy), 600 at 4 (1992) (constitutional privacy), 478 at 2 (1987) (statutory confidentiality). AAJVP has not directed our attention to any law under which any of the submitted information is considered to be confidential for purposes of section 552.101. Further, the privacy doctrine protects the privacy interests of individuals, not of corporations or other types of business organizations. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 620 (1993) (corporation has no right to privacy), 192 (1978) (right to privacy is designed primarily to protect human feelings and sensibilities, rather than property, business, or other pecuniary interests); *see also U. S. v. Morton Salt Co.*, 338 U.S. 632, 652 (1950); *Rosen v. Matthews Constr. Co.*, 777 S.W.2d 434 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1989), *rev'd on other grounds*, 796 S.W.2d 692 (Tex. 1990) (corporation has no right to privacy). Therefore, we conclude that the university may not withhold any of the information at issue under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

We also understand AAJVP to claim that its information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110 of the Government Code. However, it has provided no arguments in support of withholding its information under this provision. Because AAJVP makes no arguments for its information, we conclude that the submitted information pertaining to AAJVP may not be withheld on the basis of AAJVP's proprietary interest.

Lastly, we note that some of the submitted information appears to be protected by copyright. A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted information unless an exception to disclosure applies to the information. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). An officer for public information also must comply with copyright law, however, and is not required to furnish copies of copyrighted information. *Id.* A member of the public who wishes to make copies of copyrighted information must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 at 8-9 (1990).

In summary, the submitted information must be released in accordance with copyright law.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Heather Pendleton Ross
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

HPR/ma

Ref: ID# 292653

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Kathi Miller
4807 Crafty Cove
Austin, Texas 78749
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ian B. Acrey
LLANO Group
P.O. Box 77014
Fort Worth, Texas 76177
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Richard Machina
Arlington Joint Venture Partners
2151 Portwood Way
Fort Worth, Texas 76179
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John Petropoulos
Harding Company
Preston Tower
6211 W. Northwest Highway, Suite C-252
Dallas, Texas 75225
(w/o enclosures)