



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

November 14, 2007

Ms. Kerri L. Davidson
Deputy Counsel
Capital Metro
2910 East Fifth Street
Austin, Texas 78702

OR2007-14985

Dear Ms. Davidson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 294577.

The Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("Capital Metro") received two requests for information relating to RFP 110800. Capital Metro claims that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.104 and 552.136 of the Government Code. Capital Metro also claims that the release of the submitted proposals may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Capital Metro informs us, and provides documentation showing, that it has notified Alanis Security ("Alanis"), Diamond Detective Agency, Inc. ("Diamond"), Executive Security Systems, Inc. ("Executive"), International RAM Associates ("RAM"), VRM Security & Protections Agency, Inc. ("VRM"), Allied Barton Security Services ("Allied"), and Ameritex Guard Services ("Ameritex") of the request for information and the right of each to submit arguments explaining why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under Public Information Act in certain circumstances). We have

considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information, some of which you inform us is a representative sample.¹

Capital Metro asserts that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. Section 552.104 excepts from disclosure “information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder.” Gov’t Code § 552.104. The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect a governmental body’s interests in competitive bidding situations, including where the governmental body may wish to withhold information in order to obtain more favorable offers. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 at 8 (1991). Section 552.104 requires a showing of some actual or specific harm in a particular competitive situation; a general allegation that a bidder will gain an unfair advantage will not suffice. Open Records Decision No. 541 at 4 (1990). However, section 552.104 does not except from disclosure information relating to competitive bidding situations once a contract has been executed. Open Records Decision Nos. 306 (1982), 184 (1978).

Capital Metro states that its Board of Directors passed a resolution awarding a contract to Allied on August 27, 2007. However, Capital Metro further informs this office that a contract has not been executed and release of the documents would provide a competitor an advantage if released. Based on these representations, we conclude that Capital Metro may withhold the submitted information under section 552.104 of the Government Code until such time as a contract has been executed.² *See* Open Records Decision No. 170 at 2 (1977) (release of bids while negotiation of proposed contract is in progress would necessarily result in an advantage to certain bidders at the expense of others and could be detrimental to the public interest in the contract under negotiation).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full

¹We assume that the “representative sample” of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

²As our ruling is dispositive, we do not address any remaining arguments against disclosure.

benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Kara A. Batey
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KAB/jh

Ref: ID# 294577

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Linda Lahrman
The Wackenhut Corporation
810 Hester's Crossing, Suite 170
Round Rock, Texas 78681
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Robert E. Marquis
ISF Security Group Inc.
100 N. Central Expressway, #350
Richardson, Texas 75080
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Barry Pasternak
Account Manager
Alanis, Inc. dba Alanis Security
7220 NW 36th Street, Suite 429
Miami, Florida 33166
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. John J. Jordan, Jr.
President/CEO
Diamond Detective Agency, Inc.
1651 South Halstead Street
Chicago Heights, Illinois 60411
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Johnny L. Atkinson
Vice President
Executive Security Systems, Inc.
5555 North Lamar, Suite K105
Austin, Texas 78751
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ron Cunningham
Executive Vice President
International RAM Associates
11044 Research Boulevard, Suite D-200
Austin, Texas 78759
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Vivian R. Maritrn
Owner/President
VRM Security & Protection Agency, Inc.
525 North Sam Houston Parkway E., #419
Houston, Texas 77060
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kim Goyette
Business Development Manager
Allied Barton Security Services
6300 La Calma, Suite 170
Austin, Texas 78752
(w/o enclosures)