



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 2, 2008

Mr. David Galbraith
Assistant General Counsel
Houston Independent School District
4400 West 18th Street
Houston, Texas 77092-8501

OR2008-00031

Dear Mr. Galbraith:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 298619.

The Houston Independent School District (the "district") received a request for the present insurance coverage for district schools and specified inspection reports. You claim that the requested information is confidential under section 552.101 of the Government Code.¹ We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you have only submitted the district's insurance policies for our review. To the extent any additional responsive information existed on the date the district received this request, we assume you have released it to the requestor. If you have not released any such information, you must release it at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Next, we note that section 552.022 of the Government Code is applicable to the submitted information. Section 552.022 provides in relevant part:

¹Although you also raise section 552.111 of the Government Code, you have provided no argument explaining how this exception is applicable to the submitted information. Therefore, we presume you no longer assert this exception to disclosure. Gov't Code §§ 552.301, .302.

(a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

The submitted insurance policy records consist of information in a contract relating to the expenditure of funds by a governmental body. Thus, pursuant to section 552.022(a)(3), the district may only withhold the submitted insurance policies if they are confidential under other law. Because section 552.101 of the Government Code is “other law” for purposes of section 552.022, we will address your argument under that exception.

You contend that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code. Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision.” Gov’t Code § 552.101. This section encompasses information protected by other statutes. Section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code provides:

(a) Neither the existence nor the amount of insurance held by a governmental unit is admissible in the trial of a suit under [the Texas Tort Claims Act].

(b) Neither the existence nor the amount of the insurance is subject to discovery.

Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 101.104. Section 101.104 provides that insurance information is not discoverable or admissible as evidence during litigation proceedings under the Texas Tort Claims Act, chapter 101 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code. *See City of Bedford v. Schattman*, 776 S.W.2d 812, 813-14 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1989, orig. proceeding) (protection from producing evidence of insurance coverage under section 101.104 is limited to actions brought under the Tort Claims Act). Section 101.104, however, is a civil discovery privilege and does not make insurance information expressly confidential for purposes of section 552.101. *See* Open Records Decision No. 551 at 3 (1990) (provisions of section 101.104 “are not relevant to the availability of the information to the public”); *see also* Attorney General Opinion JM-1048 (1989); Open Records Decision Nos. 647 at 2 (1996) (information that may be privileged in the civil discovery context may not be withheld from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code), 575 at 2 (1990) (stating explicitly that discovery privileges are not covered under statutory predecessor to section 552.101). The Texas Supreme Court has determined that the discovery privileges found in the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the Texas Rules of

Evidence “are ‘other law’ within the meaning of section 552.022.” *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. 2001). Although section 101.104 is a civil discovery privilege under the Civil Practice and Remedies Code, it is not a discovery privilege found in either the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure or the Texas Rules of Evidence. Thus, section 101.104 does not alone, or in conjunction with the *Georgetown* decision, constitute “other law” for purposes of section 552.022. Accordingly, we determine that the submitted information may not be withheld from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 101.104 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

We note, however, that the submitted documents contain information subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code.² Section 552.136 provides:

(a) In this section, “access device” means a card, plate, code, account number, personal identification number, electronic serial number, mobile identification number, or other telecommunications service, equipment, or instrument identifier or means of account access that alone or in conjunction with another access device may be used to:

(1) obtain money, goods, services, or another thing of value; or

(2) initiate a transfer of funds other than a transfer originated solely by paper instrument.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.

Gov’t Code § 552.136. We have marked the insurance policy numbers in the submitted documents that must be withheld under section 552.136 of the Government Code. As you raise no arguments against the disclosure of the remaining information, it must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jordan Johnson
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JJ/mcf

Ref: ID# 298619

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Leatrice Porcher-Watson
c/o Mr. David Galbraith
4400 West 18th Street
Houston, Texas 77092-8501
(w/o enclosures)