



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

January 4, 2008

Ms. Cary Grace
Assistant City Attorney
City of Austin
P. O. Box 1088
Austin, Texas 78767

OR2008-00137

Dear Ms. Grace:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID #298773.

The City of Austin (the "city") received a request for information pertaining to a loan processed by the city's Neighborhood Commercial Management Loan Program. You state that you are releasing most of the responsive information to the requestor. You do not take a position as to whether the remaining responsive information is excepted under the Act, but you state that release of a portion of this information may implicate the proprietary interests of Baby Boomers Academy, the Weitzman Group, and AV Maverick, Inc. You state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified these companies of the city's receipt of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why their information should not be released to the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 allows a governmental body to rely on an interested third party to raise and explain the applicability of the exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note, and you acknowledge, that the city did not comply with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting this decision. Section 552.301 prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Section 552.301(b) requires the governmental body to ask for the attorney general's decision and state any exceptions it

claims not later than the tenth business day after the date of its receipt of the written request for information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(b). If a governmental body fails to comply with section 552.301, the requested information is presumed to be subject to required public disclosure and must be released, unless there is a compelling reason to withhold any of the information. *See id.* § 552.302; *Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins.*, 797 S.W.2d379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ). Thus, because the city did not comply with the deadlines prescribed by section 552.301, the submitted information is presumed public under section 552.302. This statutory presumption can generally be overcome when the information is confidential by law or third-party interests are at stake. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). In this instance, third-party interests are at stake, and we will consider any arguments raised by these third parties.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received any comments explaining why the requested information should not be released. We thus have no basis for concluding that any portion of the remaining responsive information constitutes proprietary information of the companies at issue, and none of it may be withheld on that basis. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish *prima facie* case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). As no exceptions to disclosure are raised, the information at issue must be released to the requestor.

However, we note that some of the information at issue is subject to copyright law. A governmental body must allow inspection of copyrighted materials unless an exception to disclosure applies to the information. *See* Attorney General Opinion JM-672 (1987). An officer for public information also must comply with the copyright law, however, and is not required to furnish copies of copyrighted information. *Id.* If a member of the public wishes to make copies of copyrighted materials, he or she must do so unassisted by the governmental body. In making copies, the member of the public assumes the duty of compliance with the copyright law and the risk of a copyright infringement suit. *See* Open Records Decision No. 550 at 8-9 (1990).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.— Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Reg Hargrove
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJH/eeg

Ref: ID# 298773

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Carol Lee
Vice President, 2222CONA
3506 Far View Drive
Austin, Texas 78730
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Jason R. Pierrotti
AV Maverick, Inc.
215 Bryce Canyon
Cedar Park, Texas 78613
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Ryder Jeanes
The Weitzman Group
101 West 6th Street, Suite 300
Austin, Texas 78701
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Regina McCullen
Baby Boomers Academy
P. O. Box 341614
Austin, Texas 78734
(w/o enclosures)