



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 1, 2008

Ms. Ashley D. Fourt
Assistant District Attorney
Tarrant County Office of the Criminal District Attorney
401 West Belknap
Fort Worth, Texas 76196-0201

OR2008-04271

Dear Ms. Fourt:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 306121.

The Tarrant County District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for all files in possession of the district attorney pertaining to the requestor. You state that you will release a portion of the responsive information upon receipt of proper payment by the requestor. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, you claim that some of the submitted information are records of a grand jury. The judiciary is expressly excluded from the requirements of the Act. Gov't Code § 552.003(1)(B). This office has determined that a grand jury, for purposes of the Act, is a part of the judiciary, and therefore not subject to the Act. Open Records Decision No. 411 (1984). Further, records kept by a governmental body that is acting as an agent for a grand jury are considered records in the constructive possession of the grand jury, and therefore are also not subject to the Act. Open Records Decisions Nos. 513 (1988), 411 (1984), 398 (1983). *But see* Open Records Decision No. 513 at 4 (1988) (defining limits of judiciary exclusion). Thus, to the extent that the information at issue is held by the district attorney as agent of the grand jury, it consists of records of the judiciary

not subject to disclosure under the Act. To the extent the submitted information does not consist of records of the judiciary, we will address your exceptions to disclosure.

Next, we note that the submitted information is subject to section 552.022(a)(1) of the Government Code, which provides that:

the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information constitutes a completed investigation made by the district attorney. A completed investigation must be released under section 552.022(a)(1) unless the information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 or expressly confidential under other law. Section 552.111 of the Government Code is a discretionary exception to public disclosure that protects a governmental body's interests and may be waived. *See* Open Records Decision No. 677 at 10 (2002) (attorney work product privilege under section 552.111 may be waived). As such, section 552.111 of the Government Code is not "other law" that makes information confidential for the purposes of section 552.022. Therefore, the district attorney may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.111. We note that the attorney work product privilege is also found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. The Texas Supreme Court held that "[t]he Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and Texas Rules of Evidence are 'other law' within the meaning of section 552.022." *In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 337 (Tex. 2001). However, the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure apply only to "actions of a civil nature." *See* TEX. R. CIV. P. 2. Thus, because the submitted information relates to a criminal case, the attorney work product privilege found in rule 192.5 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure does not apply to any of the information at issue. Because information that is subject to section 552.022(a)(1) may be withheld under section 552.108 and mandatory exceptions, we will consider the district attorney's claims under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code.

But first, we note that the submitted information contains fingerprints of the requestor. The public availability of fingerprints is governed by chapter 560 of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code §§ 560.001(1) ("biometric identifier" means retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry), 560.003 (biometric identifier in possession of governmental body is exempt from disclosure under Act). Section 560.002 provides, however, that "[a] governmental body that possesses a biometric identifier of an individual . . . may not sell, lease, or otherwise disclose the biometric identifier to another person unless . . . the individual consents to the disclosure[.]" *Id.* § 560.002(1)(A). Thus,

the requestor has a right of access to his own fingerprints under section 560.002(1)(A). *See* Open Records Decision No. 481 at 4 (1987) (privacy theories not implicated when individual requests information concerning himself). Although the district attorney seeks to withhold the fingerprint under section 552.108 of the Government Code, the exceptions to disclosure found in the Act are generally not applicable to information that other statutes make public. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 623 at 3 (1994), 525 at 3 (1989). Therefore, the district attorney must release the requestor's fingerprints to him under section 560.002 of the Government Code.

We now address your arguments under section 552.108 of the Government Code, which provides in pertinent part:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

...

(4) it is information that:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) represents the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(4). A governmental body that claims an exception to public disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why section 552.108 is applicable to the information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986). We note that the requestor seeks access to the district attorney's entire criminal case on a specified individual. In *Curry v. Walker*, the Texas Supreme Court held that a district attorney's decision as to what to include in a case file necessarily reveals the attorney's thought processes concerning the prosecution of the case. *See Curry v. Walker*, 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994). Accordingly, the court found that the district attorney's entire case file was protected by the attorney work product privilege. *Id.* at 380-81. Here, you state and provide documentation showing that you have released some information from the case file to the requestor. Thus, as you do not seek to withhold the entire case file, we find that *Curry* is not applicable in this instance.

You claim that a portion of the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108(a)(4) of the Government Code. You state that the submitted information includes documents that reflect the prosecutor's thought processes and/or were created or

prepared in anticipation of trial or appeal by the prosecuting attorney, her investigator, or agent in the criminal case. Based on your representations and our review of the information at issue, we determine that section 552.108(a)(4) is applicable to a portion of the submitted information. Accordingly, the district attorney may withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.108(a)(4).

We now turn to the remaining submitted information. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Criminal history record information ("CHRI") generated by the National Crime Information Center or by the Texas Crime Information Center is confidential. Title 28, part 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations governs the release of CHRI that states obtain from the federal government or other states. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The federal regulations allow each state to follow its individual law with respect to CHRI it generates. *Id.* Section 411.083 of the Government Code deems confidential CHRI that the Department of Public Safety ("DPS") maintains, except that the DPS may disseminate this information as provided in chapter 411, subchapter F of the Government Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.083.

Sections 411.083(b)(1) and 411.089(a) authorize a criminal justice agency to obtain CHRI, but a criminal justice agency may not release CHRI except to another criminal justice agency for a criminal justice purpose. *Id.* § 411.089(b)(1). Other entities specified in chapter 411 of the Government Code are entitled to obtain CHRI from DPS or another criminal justice agency; however, those entities may not release CHRI except as provided by chapter 411. *See generally id.* §§ 411.090-411.127. Thus, any CHRI generated by the federal government or another state may not be made available to the requestor except in accordance with federal regulations. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). We note that driving record information is not made confidential by the confidentiality provisions that govern CHRI. *See* Gov't Code § 411.082(2)(B) (definition of CHRI does not include driving record information). After reviewing your arguments and the submitted information, we have marked the information that constitutes CHRI and is confidential under section 411.083. Therefore, the information we have marked must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code.¹ However, the remaining submitted information does not constitute CHRI, and it may not be withheld under section 411.083.

Section 552.130 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure information that "relates to . . . a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state [or] a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state."² Gov't Code

¹We note that the requestor can obtain his own CHRI from DPS. Gov't Code § 411.083(b)(3).

²The Office of the Attorney General will raise mandatory exceptions on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

§ 552.130. Accordingly, the district attorney must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code.

In summary: (1) to the extent that the information at issue is held by the district attorney as agent of the grand jury, it consists of records of the judiciary not subject to disclosure under the Act; (2) the district attorney may withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.108(a)(4); (3) the information we have marked constitutes CHRI and is confidential under section 411.083 and must be withheld under section 552.101 of the Government Code; and (4) the district attorney must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.130 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released.³

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline,

³We note that some of the information being released is confidential and not subject to release to the general public. However, the requestor in this instance has a special right of access to the information. Gov't Code § 552.023 (person or person's authorized representative has special right of access to records that contain information relating to the person that are protected from public disclosure by laws intended to protect that person's privacy interests). Because such information may be confidential with respect to the general public, if the district attorney receives another request for this information from an individual other than this requestor, the district attorney should again seek our decision.


toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jessica J. Maloney', with a long, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

Jessica J. Maloney
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JJM/jh

Ref: ID# 306121

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Russell Glidewell
P.O. Box 7796
Portland, Maine 04112
(w/o enclosures)