ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

April 10, 2008

Ms. Claire Yancey
Assistant District Attorney
Denton County Criminal District Attorney's Office
P.O. Box 2850
Denton, Texas 76202

OR2008-04825

Dear Ms. Yancey:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 307153.

The Denton County Criminal District Attorney's Office (the "district attorney") received a request for all records relating to a specified case filed by the Flower Mound Police Department and presented to the Denton County Grand Jury on January 10, 2008. You claim that the requested information constitutes records of the judiciary and is, therefore, not subject to the Act. Alternatively, you claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.130, and 552.147 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

You state that the information requested is maintained by the district attorney on behalf of the Denton County Grand Jury and is therefore not subject to the Act. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.003(B), .0035(a); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 398 at 2 (1983) (grand jury is part of judiciary for purposes of the Act). This office has determined that a grand jury, for purposes of the Act, is a part of the judiciary, and therefore not subject to the Act. Open Records Decision No. 411 (1984). Further, records kept by a district attorney who is acting as an agent for a grand jury are considered records in the constructive possession of the grand jury, and therefore are also not subject to the Act. Open Records Decisions Nos. 513 (1988), 411 (1984), 398 (1983). *But see* Open Records Decision No. 513 at 4 (1988)

(defining limits of judiciary exclusion). We find the situation here to be substantially similar to the situation we addressed in Open Records Decision No. 513 (1988). In that decision, a district attorney claimed that all of the information responsive to an open records request and contained in his investigation file was in the constructive possession of the grand jury because the information was held by the district attorney as an agent of the grand jury. The district attorney thus asserted that his entire investigative file was subject to the judiciary exclusion and outside the reach of the Act. In response to this argument, we stated:

> Not all of the information at issue here can be deemed to be within the constructive possession of the grand jury. Your investigation began before any information was submitted to the grand jury. Moreover, the grand jury did not formally request or direct all of the district attorney's actions in this investigation. *See generally* Open Records Decision No. 398 (1983) (audit prepared at direction of grand jury). *Information obtained pursuant to a grand jury subpoena issued in connection with this investigation is within the grand jury's constructive possession. On the other hand, the fact that information collected or prepared by the district attorney is submitted to the grand jury, when taken alone, does not mean that the information is in the grand jury's constructive possession when the same information is also held by the district attorney.* Information not produced as a result of the grand jury's investigation may be protected from disclosure under one of [the Act's] exceptions, but it is not excluded from the reach of [the Act] by the judiciary exclusion. [emphasis added]

Open Records Decision No. 513 at 3 (1988). As explained above, we believe that only those portions of the responsive information "obtained pursuant to a grand jury subpoena issued in connection with [the] investigation" are within the grand jury's constructive possession and therefore subject to the judiciary exclusion and outside the reach of the Act. We have no indication that the grand jury subpoenaed the submitted investigation files of the Flower Mound Police Department and the district attorney and we do not believe release of this information implicates the confidentiality provision at article 20.02(a) of the Code of Criminal Procedure ("The proceedings of the grand jury shall be secret."). Thus, to the extent that the information at issue is held by the district attorney as an agent of the grand jury, it consists of records of the judiciary not subject to disclosure under the Act. To the extent the submitted information does not consist of records of the judiciary, we will address your exceptions to disclosure.

Section 552.108 provides in relevant part the following:

> (a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

. . .

(4) it is information that:

(A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or

(B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(4). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. *See id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). Section 552.108(a)(4) is applicable to information that was prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation or that reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state. When a request essentially seeks the entire prosecution file, the information is excepted from disclosure in its entirety pursuant to the holding in *Curry v. Walker*. 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994) (discovery request for district attorney's entire litigation file may be denied because decision of what to include in file necessarily reveals prosecutor's mental impressions or legal reasoning). The request for information encompasses the district attorney's entire case file; therefore, *Curry* provides that the release of the information would reveal the district attorney's mental impressions or legal reasoning. Accordingly, we find that subsection 552.108(a)(4) of the Government Code applies to the submitted information.

We note, however, that basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.108. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). Such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App. — Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e.*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (per curiam). *See* Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information considered to be basic information). Thus, with the exception of basic information, the district attorney may withhold the remaining submitted information at issue under section 552.108(a)(4) of the Government Code. As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments against disclosure.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Jessica J. Maloney
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JJM/jh

Ref: ID# 307153

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Patricia Sanchez
3451 Fireside Drive
Flower Mound, Texas 75028
(w/o enclosures)