



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

May 9, 2008

Mr. Bryce Perry  
Assistant Criminal District Attorney  
Wichita County  
Wichita County Courthouse  
900 Seventh Street  
Wichita Falls, Texas 76301-2482

OR2008-06368

Dear Mr. Perry:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 309922.

The Wichita County District Clerk (the "clerk") received a request for information pertaining to a specified cause number. You claim that the requested information is not subject to the Act. We have considered your argument and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.<sup>1</sup>

You state that the requested information constitutes records of the judiciary and is not subject to the Act. We note that the Act only applies to information that is "collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business . . . by a governmental body[.]" Gov't Code § 552.002(a)(1). While the Act's definition of a "governmental body" is broad, it specifically excludes "the judiciary." *See id.* § 552.003(1)(A), (B). Consequently, judiciary records need not be released under the Act.

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

See Attorney General Opinion DM-166 (1992). *But see Benavides v. Lee*, 665 S.W.2d 151 (Tex. App.—San Antonio 1983, no writ); Open Records Decision No. 646 at 4 (1996) (“function that a governmental entity performs determines whether the entity falls within the judiciary exception to the . . . Act”). Accordingly, because the information at issue consists of judicial records, the district clerk is not required to release it pursuant to the Act. However, we note that Texas courts have long recognized a common law right to copy and inspect certain judicial records. Attorney General Opinions DM-166 at 2-3 1992) (public has general right to inspect and copy judicial records), H-826 (1976); Open Records Decision No. 25 (1974); see *Star Telegram, Inc. v. Walker*, 834 S.W.2d 54, 57 (Tex. 1992) (documents filed with courts are generally considered public and must be released). Additionally, the records may be subject to disclosure under statutory law. See Gov’t Code § 27.004 (all papers filed in case in justice court are subject to inspection of any interested party at reasonable times); Local Gov’t Code § 191.006 (records belonging to office of county clerk shall be open to public unless access restricted by law or court order).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general’s Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).


If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental

body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Melanie J. Villars  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

MJV/jh

Ref: ID# 309922

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Donald Joseph Banks, Sr.  
TDC# 1399504  
Bill Clements Unit  
9601 Spur 591  
Amarillo, Texas 79107  
(w/o enclosures)