



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

May 27, 2008

Mr. Joe Gorfida, Jr.  
Nichols, Jackson, Dillard, Hager & Smith, LLP  
1800 Lincoln Plaza  
500 North Akard Street  
Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2008-07160

Dear Mr. Gorfida:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 311086.

The City of Richardson (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for 1) bid response packages for three respondents and 2) all bid tabulation sheets for all respondents listed on the bid evaluation results form for RFP #701-08, EMS Collection Proposal. You claim that the proposal submitted by Southwest General Services of Dallas, L.L.C. ("Southwest") is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. In addition, you state that the release of the submitted information may implicate the proprietary interests of Southwest. Accordingly, you have notified Southwest of the request and of its right to submit arguments to this office as to why the submitted information should not be released. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d) (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure in certain circumstances). We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you have only submitted the Southwest proposal. Therefore, to the extent that any information responsive to the request for the two other bid response packages or the bid tabulation sheets existed on the date the city received the request, we assume that it has been released. If such information has not been released, then it must be released at this time. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302; *see also* Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

Section 552.104 excepts from disclosure "information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder." The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect the interests of a governmental body by preventing one competitor or bidder from gaining an unfair advantage over others in the context of a pending competitive bidding process. Open Records Decision No. 541 (1990). The governmental body must demonstrate actual or potential harm to its interests in a particular competitive situation. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 593 at 2 (1991), 463 (1987), 453 at 3 (1986). A general allegation of a remote possibility of harm is not sufficient to invoke section 552.104. Open Records Decision No. 593 at 2. Furthermore, section 552.104 generally is not applicable once a competitive bidding situation has concluded and a contract has been executed. *See* Open Records Decision No. 541 (1990).

In this instance, you state that the bid has already been awarded. You do not provide any arguments asserting that the competitive situation is ongoing. Instead, you argue that Southwest's interests, not the city's, would be harmed by the release of this information. However, as you acknowledge, section 552.104 protects only the interests of a governmental body, not the interests of third parties. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 592 (1991) (statutory predecessor to section 552.104 designed to protect interests of a governmental body in a competitive situation, and not interests of private parties submitting information to the government), 522 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). As you do not assert that the release of this information would cause any harm to the city's interests in a particular competitive situation, we conclude you have failed to demonstrate the applicability of section 552.104 to the submitted information. Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the submitted information under section 552.104 of the Government Code.

An interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should be withheld from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, we have not received comments from Southwest explaining why the submitted information should not be released. Therefore, we have no basis to conclude that Southwest has protected proprietary interests in the submitted information. *See id.* § 552.110; Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish prima facie case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). As no other arguments against the disclosure of the submitted information are raised, it must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited

from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Laura E. Ream  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LER/jb

Ref: ID# 311086

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Richard C. Klemme  
Intermedix Technologies, Inc.  
P.O. Box 940249  
Houston, Texas 77094-7249  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Scott Fothergill  
Southwest General Services of Dallas, L.L.C.  
9441 LBJ Freeway, Suite 600  
Dallas, Texas 75243  
(w/o enclosures)