



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

May 28, 2008

Ms. Meredith Ladd
Brown & Hofmeister, L.L.P.
740 East Campbell Road, Suite 800
Richardson, Texas 75081

OR2008-07227

Dear Ms. Ladd:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 311166.

The City of McKinney (the "city"), which you represent, received three requests from the same requestor for proposals and correspondence exchanged between the city, the McKinney Airport Development Corporation (the "MADC"), the former Collin County Regional Airport Board of Directors, and Pegasus Airlines. The requestor also seeks all non-executive session e-mails and notes regarding Pegasus Airlines. You state that the city does not maintain the records of the MADC.¹ You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.131 of the Government Code.² We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.³

¹We note that the Act does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when the request was received or to create new information responsive to the request. *See Econ. Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante*, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1978, writ dismissed); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983).

²Although you also raise section 552.111 of the Government Code, you make no arguments to support this exception. Section 552.111 is therefore waived. *See Gov't Code* 552.007, .301(e)(1)(A), .302; Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000).

³We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See Open Records Decision* Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Section 552.131(b) of the Government Code provides that “[u]nless and until an agreement is made with [a] business prospect, information about a financial or other incentive being offered to the business prospect by the governmental body or by another person is excepted from [required public disclosure].” Gov’t Code § 552.131(b). You state that the requested information contains the economic development incentives being offered to a business prospect. You explain that negotiations are ongoing and no final economic development agreements have been finalized. However, the submitted information consists of general contract negotiations and does not disclose incentives offered by the city to the business prospect. Thus, we find you have not sufficiently demonstrated how the submitted information consists of a financial or other incentive for purposes of section 552.131(b). Therefore, we conclude that this information is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.131(b).

We note that the submitted information includes e-mail addresses.⁴ Section 552.137 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body” unless the member of the public consents to its release or the e-mail address is of a type specifically excluded by subsection (c). *See id.* § 552.137(a)-(c). This section excepts from disclosure certain e-mail addresses of members of the public that are provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body, unless the owner of the e-mail address has affirmatively consented to its public disclosure. *See id.* § 552.137(b). The types of e-mail addresses listed in section 552.137(c) may not be withheld under this exception. *See id.* § 552.137(c). Likewise, section 552.137 is not applicable to an institutional e-mail address, an Internet website address, or an e-mail address that a governmental entity maintains for one of its officials or employees. You do not inform us that the individual at issue has affirmatively consented to the release of the personal e-mail address we have marked. Thus, you must withhold the marked e-mail address pursuant to section 552.137 of the Government Code. The remaining submitted information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of

⁴The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception like section 552.137 of the Government Code on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Bill Dobie
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

WJD/jh

Ref: ID# 311166

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Brandi Hart
McKinney Courier-Gazette
1650 Virginia, Suite 202
McKinney, Texas 75069
(w/o enclosures)