



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

July 8, 2008

Ms. Alexis M. Goldate
Assistant City Attorney
City of Corpus Christi
P.O. Box 9277
Corpus Christi, Texas 78469-9277

OR2008-09224

Dear Ms. Goldate:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 315582.

The City of Corpus Christi (the "city") received a request for all comments submitted in the five-day response period related to the RFP process for the city's employee health insurance plan.¹ You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.104 of the Government Code. You also contend that release of the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of third parties. Accordingly, you have notified the interested third-parties, American Administrative Group ("AAG") and FARA Benefit Services, Inc. of this request and of each company's right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. *See Gov't Code*

¹The city sought and received a clarification of the information requested. *See Gov't Code § 552.222* (if request for information is unclear, governmental body may ask requestor to clarify request); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 31 (1974) (when presented with broad requests for information rather than for specific records, governmental body may advise requestor of types of information available so that request may be properly narrowed).

§ 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception to disclosure under the Act in certain circumstances). We have received comments from AAG. We have considered the submitted arguments and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.104 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure “information that, if released, would give advantage to a competitor or bidder.” Gov’t Code § 552.104(a). The purpose of section 552.104 is to protect a governmental body’s interests in competitive bidding situations, including where the governmental body may wish to withhold information in order to obtain more favorable offers. *See* Open Records Decision No. 592 at 8 (1991). Section 552.104 requires a showing of some actual or specific harm in a particular competitive situation; a general allegation that a bidder will gain an unfair advantage will not suffice. Open Records Decision No. 541 at 4 (1990). However, section 552.104 does not except from disclosure information relating to competitive bidding situations once a contract has been executed. Open Records Decision Nos. 306 (1982), 184 (1978).

You state that the requested information relates to a proposed contract that will provide for the city’s employee health insurance plan. You also state that the contract has not been executed or made effective by the city. You further state that the release of the requested information would harm the city’s negotiating interests with regard to potential vendors, and would compromise the city’s negotiating process in this particular matter. Based on your arguments and our review, we conclude that the city may withhold the requested information under section 552.104 of the Government Code until such time as a contract has been executed.² *See* Open Records Decision No. 170 at 2 (1977) (release of bids while negotiation of proposed contract is in progress would necessarily result in an advantage to certain bidders at the expense of others and could be detrimental to the public interest in the contract under negotiation).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the

²As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address the arguments against disclosure submitted by AAG.

governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Bill Longley
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

BL/eeg

Ref: ID# 315582

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Patricia O'Brien
Director of Business Planning
Corpus Christi Medical Center
3315 South Alameda
Corpus Christi, Texas 78475
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. James David Kelly
General Counsel
American Administrative Group
222 West Las Colinas Boulevard, Suite 1600 North
Irving, Texas 75039-5403
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Leon A. Golemi
Vice President
FARA Benefit Services Inc.
4646 Corona Drive, Suite 265
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Jennifer L. Smith
Sales and Marketing Manager
FARA Benefit Services Inc.
4646 Corona Drive, Suite 265
Corpus Christi, Texas 78411
(w/o enclosures)