



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

August 11, 2008

Ms. Rebecca Brewer  
Abernathy, Roeder, Boyd, & Joplin, P.C.  
P.O. Box 1210  
McKinney, Texas 75070

OR2008-10925

Dear Ms. Brewer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 318591.

The City of Frisco (the "city") received a request for six specific categories of information relating to all bids submitted in response to a specified Request for Proposals. Although you raise no exceptions to disclosure on behalf of the city, you state that release of the requested information may implicate the proprietary interests of the companies who submitted bids to the city. Accordingly, you state that you have notified these third parties of the request and of each company's opportunity to submit arguments to this office as to why its information should be excepted from public disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 552.305(d); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in the Act in certain circumstances). You state that only AUI Contractors ("AUI") objects to release of its information, and you inform this office that responsive information from all of the other notified companies will be made available to the requestor. We have considered comments from AUI's representative and have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that some of the submitted information, which we have marked, is not responsive to the instant request. The city need not release non-responsive information in response to this request, and this ruling will not address that information.

In this instance, AUI does not object to the release of any of its specifically requested information, which you have submitted as "Exhibit B." We note, however, that the Exhibit B contains insurance policy numbers that are subject to section 552.136 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Section 552.136 of the Government Code states that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential." Gov't Code § 552.136. Thus, the city must withhold the insurance policy numbers we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining responsive information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

---

<sup>1</sup>The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Reg Hargrove  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

RJH/eeg

Ref: ID# 318591

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Sandra Cook  
Core Construction  
10625 North County Road, Suite 100  
Frisco, Texas 75034  
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Michael Scott MacQuaid  
Harrison & Steck, P.C.  
1100 Sinclair Building  
512 Main Street  
Fort Worth, Texas 76102  
(w/o enclosures)