



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
GREG ABBOTT

August 14, 2008

Mr. Bennett M. Wyse
Messer, Campbell & Brady
6351 Preston Road, Suite 350
Frisco, Texas 75034

OR2008-11118

Dear Mr. Wyse:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 319021.

The City of Murphy (the "city"), which you represent, received two requests for information from the same requestor for a copy of a specified audit and all invoices, expense reports, and bills as well as the total expense to the city for the audit. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.107 and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that the submitted information consists of documents that are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides, in relevant part:

(a) the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

(1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108;

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1), (3). The submitted information consists of a completed report made for or by the city, which is expressly public under section 552.022(a)(1), and includes account information relating to the expenditure of public or other funds by the city, which is expressly public under section 552.022(a)(3). You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.107 and 552.111 of the Government Code. However, these sections are discretionary exceptions under the Act and do not constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 676 at 10-11 (2002) (attorney-client privilege under section 552.107(1) may be waived), 473 (1987) (governmental body may waive section 552.111), 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Accordingly, the city may not withhold the information subject to section 552.022 under sections 552.107 and 552.111 of the Government Code. The Texas Supreme Court has held, however, that the Texas Rules of Evidence are "other law" within the meaning of section 552.022. *See In re City of Georgetown*, 53 S.W.3d 328, 336 (Tex. 2001). Accordingly, we will consider whether the city may withhold the submitted information under Texas Rule of Evidence 503.

Rule 503 encompasses the attorney-client privilege and provides:

A client has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing confidential communications made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services to the client:

- (A) between the client or a representative of the client and the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer;
- (B) between the lawyer and the lawyer's representative;
- (C) by the client or a representative of the client, or the client's lawyer or a representative of the lawyer, to a lawyer or a representative of a lawyer representing another party in a pending action and concerning a matter of common interest therein;
- (D) between representatives of the client or between the client and a representative of the client; or
- (E) among lawyers and their representatives representing the same client.

TEX. R. EVID. 503(b)(1). A communication is "confidential" if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom disclosure is made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client or those reasonably necessary for the transmission of the communication. *Id.* 503(a)(5). Thus, in order to withhold attorney-client privileged information from disclosure under rule 503, a governmental body must: (1) show that the document is a communication transmitted between privileged parties or reveals a confidential communication; (2) identify the parties involved in the communication; and (3)

show that the communication is confidential by explaining that it was not intended to be disclosed to third persons and that it was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the client. Upon a demonstration of all three factors, the information is privileged and confidential under rule 503, provided the client has not waived the privilege or the document does not fall within the purview of the exceptions to the privilege enumerated in rule 503(d). *Pittsburgh Corning Corp. v. Caldwell*, 861 S.W.2d 423, 427 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1993, no writ).

You inform us that the audit is a communication “between and among the City, the City Attorney and the client consultant of the City Attorney.” You further inform us that the communication was confidential, was made in furtherance of the rendition of professional legal services to the city, and was not intended to be disclosed to third parties. Having considered your representations and reviewed the information at issue, we find that you have established that the audit, which we have marked, constitutes a privileged attorney-client communication that the city may withhold under rule 503. *See Harlandale Indep. Sch. Dist. v. Cornyn*, 25 S.W.3d 328 (Tex. App.—Austin 2000, pet. denied) (attorney’s entire investigative report was protected by attorney client privilege where attorney was retained to conduct investigation in her capacity as attorney for purpose of providing legal services and advice). We have also marked additional information that may be withheld under rule 503. However, we find that the city has failed to demonstrate how any of the remaining information at issue constitutes confidential communications between privileged parties made for the purpose of facilitating the rendition of professional legal services. Therefore, none of the remaining information may be withheld under rule 503.

We note that the remaining information contains an account number. Section 552.136(b) of the Government Code states that “[n]otwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a credit card, debit card, charge card, or access device number that is collected, assembled, or maintained by or for a governmental body is confidential.” Gov’t Code § 552.136(b).¹ The city must withhold the account number we have marked under section 552.136.

In summary, the city may withhold the information we have marked pursuant to Texas Rule of Evidence 503 and must withhold the account number we have marked under section 552.136 of the Government Code. The remaining information must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov’t Code § 552.301(f). If the

¹The Office of the Attorney General will raise a mandatory exception on behalf of a governmental body, but ordinarily will not raise other exceptions. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 481 (1987), 480 (1987), 470 (1987).

governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must file suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such a challenge, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3). If the governmental body does not file suit over this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can challenge that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Office of the Attorney General at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Jonathan Miles
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JM/jh

Ref: ID# 319021

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Dwayne Elledge
c/o Mr. Bennett M. Wyse
Messer, Campbell & Brady
6351 Preston Road, Suite 350
Frisco, Texas 75034
(w/o enclosures)